102 MONITOR

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

VOLUME 10
NO. 8
AUGUST, 1980

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CHAIRMAN GUS SPETH, MEMORANDUM FOR HEADS OF AGENCIES: INTERAGENCY CONSULTATION TO AVOID OR MITIGATE ADVERSE EFFECTS ON RIVERS IN THE NATIONWIDE INVENTORY, AUGUST 10, 1980.

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CHAIRMAN GUS SPETH, MEMORANDUM FOR HEADS OF AGENCIES: ANALYSIS OF IMPACTS ON PRIME OR UNIQUE AGRICULTURAL LANDS IN IMPLEMENTING THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT, AUGUST 11, 1980.

Questions or comments on this publication should be addressed to:

Editor
102 Monitor
Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Environmental Review (A-104)
401 M Street, SW
Washington, D.C. 20460
(202) 245-3006

COPIES OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS MAY BE OBTAINED FROM:

1. AGENCY CONTACT: The name, address and telephone number for each Federal Agency is listed within the text of the EISs filed.

2. INFORMATION RESOURCES PRESS
1700 North Moore Street
Suite 700A
Arlington, VA 22209
(703) 558-8270

Zeroxed Copies - $ 0.20 per page
Microfiche - $6.00 per statement up to five fiche (490 pages), $1.75 each additional fiche (98 pages)

Postage and handling will be added to orders for microfiche or hard copies of single statements.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. CEQ Chairman Gus Speth, Memorandum: Interagency Consultation to Avoid or Mitigate Adverse Effects on Rivers in the Nationwide Inventory

2. CEQ Chairman Gus Speth, Memorandum: Analysis of Impacts on Prime or Unique Agricultural Lands in Implementing the National Environmental Policy Act

3. Agency and Bureau Index of EIS's Filed During July, 1980

4. State and County Index of EIS's Filed during July, 1980

5. Monthly Statement Summary for July, 1980

6. Environmental Impact Statements filed July, 1980

   Civil Aeronautics Board

   US Army Corps of Engineers

   Department of Commerce

   Department of Defense

   Department of Energy

   Department of Interior

   Department of Transportation

   Environmental Protection Agency

   Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

   General Services Administration

   Department of HUD

   Tennessee Valley Authority

   US Postal Service

   Department of Agriculture

7. Annual Cumulative Summary for 1980

8. 102 Monitor Subscription Information

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
722 JACKSON PLACE, N. W.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006

August 10, 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR HEADS OF AGENCIES

SUBJECT: Interagency Consultation to Avoid or Mitigate Adverse Effects on Rivers in the Nationwide Inventory

In his second Message on the Environment, issued in August 1979, the President underscored the need to strengthen the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System and to take particular care not to harm rivers which may qualify for inclusion in the System.

The President issued a directive on August 2, 1979 in conjunction with his Message which required that:

"Each Federal agency shall, as part of its normal planning and environmental review process, take care to avoid or mitigate adverse effects on rivers identified in the Nationwide Inventory prepared by the Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service in the Department of the Interior. Agencies shall, as part of their normal environmental review process, consult with the Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service prior to taking actions which could effectively foreclose wild, scenic, or recreational river status on rivers in the Inventory."

This memorandum is intended to assist your agency in meeting its responsibilities under the President's directive. A brief set of procedures is attached which provides guidance on how to integrate these responsibilities with your normal environmental analysis process under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). The objective is to ensure that the President's directive is met promptly and efficiently.

Development along our rivers continues to outpace our ability to protect those rivers that might qualify for designation in the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System. The Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service (HCRS) in the Department of the Interior has been preparing a Nationwide Inventory of river segments that, after preliminary review, appear to qualify for inclusion in the System. It is therefore essential that federal agencies proceed carefully

and limit any adverse effects of their actions on rivers identified in the Nationwide Inventory. Otherwise, the Inventory could be depleted before the identified rivers can be fully assessed to determine the desirability of including them as components of the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System.

Although the President's directive does not prohibit an agency from taking, supporting or allowing an action which would adversely affect wild and scenic values of a river in the Inventory, each agency is responsible for studying, developing and describing all reasonable alternatives before acting, and for avoiding and mitigating adverse effects on rivers identified in the Inventory. Where agency action could effectively foreclose the designation of a wild, scenic, or recreational river segment, the President has directed the agency to consult with HCRS. It is difficult to restore a river and its immediate environment once its wild and scenic qualities have been lost.

The purpose of this consultation requirement, which is meant to be part of the normal environmental analysis process, is to provide the opportunity for HCRS experts to assist other agencies in meeting program objectives without irreparably damaging potential wild, scenic, and recreational river areas. Consultation with HCRS should encourage better planning at an early stage in order to reduce resource management conflicts or to avoid them altogether. The consultation requirement also provides an opportunity to seek early resolution of problems by policy-level officials if necessary.

Completed portions of the Nationwide Inventory -- those for the Eastern half of the country -- were sent to you from HCRS Director Chris T. Delaporte on November 13, 1979. Forthcoming portions of the Inventory will be transmitted as they are completed. You should ensure that the list of rivers in the Inventory and the attached procedures receive wide distribution in your agency.

Copies of orders, guidance, or memoranda which you use to adopt or to transmit the attached procedures within your agency should be sent to the Council on Environmental Quality (Attention: Larry Williams) and to the Interagency Wild and Scenic Rivers Study Group (Attention: Jack Hauptman, HCRS, 440 G Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20243).

Gus Speth
Chairman

Attachment

Procedures for Interagency Consultation to Avoid or Mitigate Adverse Effects on Rivers in the Nationwide Inventory

These procedures are designed to assist federal officials in complying with the President's directive (attached) to protect rivers in the Nationwide Inventory through the normal environmental analysis process. NEPA, E.O. 11514, CEQ's NEPA Regulations, and agency implementing procedures should be used to meet the President's directive.

Although the steps outlined below pertain to wild and scenic river protection, they also fit clearly within agencies' existing environmental analysis processes. Agencies are already required: to identify and analyze the environmental effects of their actions; to consult with agencies with jurisdiction by law or special expertise (in this case, HCRS); to develop and study alternatives; and to use all practicable means and measures to preserve important historic, cultural, and natural aspects of our national heritage.

The procedures outlined below simply link the appropriate elements of the normal environmental analysis process with the President's directive "to take care to avoid or mitigate adverse effects on rivers identified in the Nationwide Inventory." Federal officials should promptly take steps to incorporate the actions specified below into their planning and decisionmaking activities and the conduct of their environmental analyses.

1. Determine whether the proposed action could affect an Inventory river.

Check the current regional Inventory lists to determine whether the proposed action could affect an Inventory river.

If an Inventory river could be affected by the proposed action, an environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement may be required depending upon the significance of the effects.

If the action would not affect an Inventory river, no further action is necessary under these procedures. (The agency is still required to fulfill any other responsibilities under NEPA).

2. Determine whether the proposed action could have an adverse effect on the natural, cultural and recreational values of the Inventory river segment.

Using the Guide for Identifying Potential Adverse Effects, which is appended to these procedures, you should determine whether the proposed action could adversely affect the natural, cultural, or recreational values of the Inventory river segment. Adverse effects on inventoried rivers may occur under conditions which include, but are not limited to:

(1) Destruction or alteration of all or part of the free flowing nature of the river;

(2) Introduction of visual, audible, or other sensory intrusions which are out of character with the river or alter its setting;

(3) Deterioration of water quality; or

(4) Transfer or sale of property adjacent to an inventoried river without adequate conditions or restrictions for protecting the river and its surrounding environment.

If you have prepared a document which finds that there would be no adverse effects -- such as a Finding of No Significant Impact under the CEQ NEPA regulations -- you should send a courtesy copy to the HCRS field office in your region.

3. Determine whether the proposed action could foreclose options to classify any portion of the Inventory segment as wild, scenic or recreational river areas.

In some cases, impacts of a proposed action could be severe enough to preclude inclusion in the Wild and Scenic Rivers System, or lower the quality of the classification (e.g. from wild to recreational). If the proposed undertaking could effectively downgrade any portion of the Inventory segment you should consult with HCRS.

Proposed actions (whether uses or physical changes), which are theoretically reversible, but which are not likely to be reversed in the short term, should be considered to have the effect of foreclosing for all practical purposes wild and scenic river status. This is because a river segment, when studied for possible inclusion in the Wild and Scenic River System, must be judged as it is found to exist at the time of the study, rather than as it may exist at some future time.

If a proposal, including one or more alternatives, could have an adverse effect on a river in the Inventory, an environmental assessment or, if the effects are significant, an environmental impact statement must be prepared. HCRS staff is available to assist you in determining the significance or severity of the effects in connection with your assessment, scoping process, and EIS, if one is needed. A detailed analysis of each of the rivers in the Inventory is available from HCRS for your use.

You should request assistance in writing from HCRS, as early as you can, providing sufficient information about the proposal to allow HCRS to assist you in determining whether any of the alternatives under consideration would foreclose designation. HCRS will in turn provide you with an analysis of the impacts on natural, cultural and recreational values which should enable you to make a determination as to whether or not designation would be foreclosed. HCRS is available to assist you in developing appropriate avoidance/mitigation measures.

When environmental assessments are prepared on proposals that affect Inventory rivers, copies should be sent in a timely fashion to the HCRS field office in your area before a proposed action is taken and while there is still time to avoid or mitigate adverse effects. When environmental impact statements are prepared on proposals that affect Inventory rivers the lead agency should request HCRS and the affected land managing agency to be cooperating agencies as soon as the Notice of Intent to prepare an EIS has been published.

If HCRS does not respond to your request for assistance within 30 days, you may proceed with completing preparation and circulation of the environmental assessment or EIS as planned. Even where HCRS has been unable to comment on the environmental assessment or Draft EIS, you are still obligated by the President's directive to "...take care to avoid or mitigate adverse effects on rivers identified in the Nationwide Inventory..."

4. Incorporate avoidance/mitigation measures into the proposed action to maximum extent feasible within the agency's authority.

Any environmental documents prepared on the proposed action should identify the impacts on natural, cultural and recreational values, address the comments submitted by HCRS, and state the avoidance/mitigation measures adopted. Any disagreements will be resolved through existing procedures. For projects requiring environmental impact statements, the record of decision must adopt appropriate avoidance/ mitigation measures and a monitoring and enforcement program as required by the CEQ regulations. (40 CFR Sec. 1505.2(c)).

A Note on the Meaning of "Federal Actions"

The above procedures are meant to apply to all federal actions that could adversely affect a river in the Nationwide Inventory (see Section 1508.18 of CEQ's NEPA Regulations (40 CFR 1508.18) for the meaning of "major federal actions"). For actions which are known in advance to require an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement these procedures would be followed in the normal course of NEPA compliance. If a federal action would not normally require an environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement, but could adversely affect a river in the Nationwide Inventory, the action should either (1) not be "categorically excluded" under agency implementing procedures, or (2) be considered an "extraordinary circumstance" in which a normally excluded action must be subjected to environmental analysis (see Section 1508.4 of NEPA Regulations).

The above procedures should be used for any proposals (including the elevation of alternative courses of action) for which the NEPA process is not yet completed. The above procedures should therefore also be applied to a proposed modification or supplement to a previously authorized or implemented action.

For Further Information or Guidance

The HCRS regional office will usually provide the best source of information on rivers in the Nationwide Inventory and on specific ways that these rivers could be protected. For general assistance on policy and procedural matters, please contact the Chairman of the Interagency Wild and Scenic Rivers Study Group (202/343-4793), or contact the Council on Environmental Quality (202/395-4540).

APPENDIX I. Guide for Identifying Potential Adverse Effects

The impact of a proposed action should be assessed in relation to the eligibility and classification criteria of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, 16 U.S.C. 1271-1287, as amended.

In order to be eligible for inclusion in the National System, a river must:

1. Be "free-flowing," i.e., "existing or flowing in natural condition without impoundment, diversion, straightening, rip-rapping, or other modification of the waterway. The existence, however, of low dams, diversion works, and other minor structures at the time any river is proposed for inclusion in the national wild and scenic rivers system shall not automatically bar its consideration for such inclusion: Provided, that this shall not be construed to authorize, intend, or encourage future construction of such structures within components of national wild and scenic rivers system." (16 U.S.C. Sec. 1286)

2. Possess "outstandingly remarkable scenic, recreational, geologic, fish and wildlife, historic, cultural, or other similar values." (16 U.S.C. Sec. 1271)

Eligible river segments are classified according to the extent of evidence of man's activity as one of the following:

1. "Wild river areas--Those rivers or sections of rivers that are free of impoundments and generally inaccessible except by trail, with watersheds or shorelines essentially primitive and waters unpolluted. These represent vestiges of primitive America."

2. "Scenic river areas--Those rivers or sections of rivers that are free of impoundments, with shorelines or watersheds still largely primitive and shorelines largely undeveloped, but accessible in places by roads."

3. "Recreational river areas--Those rivers or sections of rivers that are readily accessible by road or railroad, that may have some development along their shorelines, and that may have undergone some impoundment or diversion in the past." (16 U.S.C. Sec. 1273(b))

Any action which could alter the river segment's ability to meet the above eligibility and classification criteria should be considered an adverse impact. Actions which diminish the free-flowing characteristics or outstandingly remarkable values of a river segment could prevent the segment from qualifying for inclusion in the national system. Actions which increase the degree of evidence of man's activity, i.e., level of development, could change the classification of the river segment.

The effect of all proposed developments within the river corridor should be assessed in terms of severity of effect and extent of area affected. Developments outside the corridor which would cause visual, noise, or air quality impacts on the river corridor should also be examined.

Only proposed new construction or proposed expansion of existing developments need be considered in assessing impacts. Repair or rehabilitation of existing structures would not have a negative impact except if the action would result in significant expansion of the facility or if the construction process itself would cause an irreversible impact on the environment.

Placement of navigation aids such as buoys and channel markers will not be considered as causing adverse effects.

The following are examples of types of developments which would generally require consultation with HCRS because of the potential for adverse effects on the values of a potential wild, scenic, or recreational river. This list is not exhaustive.

- Small dock
- Small bulkhead
- Clearing and snagging
- Drainage canal, culvert or outfall
- Irrigation canal
- Levee or dike
- Rip-rap, bank stabilization or erosion control structure
- Small reservoir
- Increase in commercial navigation
- Dredging or filling
- Run-of-the-river dam or diversion structure.
- Road
- Railroad
- Building (any type)
- Pipeline, transmission line
- Bridge or ford
- Gas, oil or water well
- Sub-surface mine opening
- Quarry
- Power substation
- Recreation area
- Dump or junkyard
- Change in flow regime
- Clear-cut timber harvest
- Radio tower, windmill

The following are examples of types of development which appear most likely to cause serious adverse effects if they are constructed adjacent to or in close proximity to an Inventory river. Such development proposals will almost always require consultation with HCRS because their effects are likely to conflict with the values of a potential wild, scenic or recreational river. These effects could be severe enough to foreclose designation of the affected river segment. This list is not exhaustive.

- Impoundment
- Channelization
- Instream or surface mining
- Lock and dam
- Airport
- Landfill
- Factory
- Gas or oil field
- Major highway
- Railroad yard
- Power plant
- Sewage treatment plant
- Housing development
- Shopping center
- Industrial park
- Marina
- Commercial dock

Wild and Scenic Rivers and National Trails

Memorandums From the President. August 2, 1979

Memorandum for the Heads of Departments and Agencies

In my Environmental Message of August 2, 1979, I recognized the important natural, historic, and recreational values of our Nation's river corridors. It is important for the federal agencies to set an example of sound management for state, local, and private landowners by taking an aggressive role in protecting Wild and Scenic Rivers which flow through public lands.

In addition, I recognized that the 1968 National Trails System Act is designed to promote the development of recreational, scenic, and historic trails for persons of diverse interests and abilities—including the young, the handicapped, and the aged—and that the National Trails System is in its fledgling stage. The Act provides for designating trails on state, local and private lands, but only 130 trails have been established since enactment of the Act. In my Environmental Message I stressed the importance of expanding the National Trails System.

Therefore, I am directing that each of you take the following actions:

• Each federal agency shall encourage states, localities and private land holders to designate trails on their lands and to participate with federal agencies and trail users in designing and creating an overall National Trails System which will provide more fully for the trail needs of America.

• Each federal agency shall, as part of its normal planning and environmental review processes, take care to avoid or mitigate adverse effects on rivers identified in the Nationwide Inventory, prepared by the Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service in the Department of the Interior. Agencies shall, as part of their normal environmental review process, consult with the Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service prior to taking actions which could effectively foreclose wild, scenic, or recreational river status on rivers in the Inventory.

• Each Federal agency with responsibility for administering public lands shall, as part of its ongoing land use planning and management activities and environmental review processes, make an assessment of whether the rivers identified in the Nationwide Inventory and which are on their lands are suitable for inclusion in the Wild and Scenic Rivers System. If an agency determines that a river would be suitable for inclusion in the System, the agency shall, to the extent of the agency's authority, promptly take such steps as are needed to protect and manage the river and the surrounding area in a fashion comparable to rivers already included in the Wild and Scenic Rivers System. In addition, the agency is encouraged, pursuant to the revised Guidelines, to work with the Agriculture and Interior Departments to prepare legislation to designate the river as part of the Wild and Scenic Rivers System if appropriate.

Please give these assignments your immediate attention.

JIMMY CARTER

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
722 JACKSON PLACE, N. W.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006

August 11, 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR HEADS OF AGENCIES

SUBJECT: Prime and Unique Agricultural Lands and the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

The accompanying memorandum on Analysis of Impacts on Prime or Unique Agricultural Lands in Implementing the National Environmental Policy Act was developed in cooperation with the Department of Agriculture. It updates and supersedes the Council's previous memorandum on this subject of August 1976.

In order to review agency progress or problems in implementing this memorandum the Council will request periodic reports from federal agencies as part of our ongoing oversight of agency implementation of NEPA and the Council's regulations. At this time we would appreciate receiving from your agency by November 1, 1980, the following information:

- identification and brief summary of existing or proposed agency policies, regulations and other directives specifically intended to preserve or mitigate the effects of agency actions on prime or unique agricultural lands, including criteria or methodology used in assessing these impacts.

- identification of specific impact statements and, to the extent possible, other documents prepared from October 1, 1979 to October 1, 1980 covering actions deemed likely to have significant direct or indirect effects on prime or unique agricultural lands.

- the name of the policy-level official responsible for agricultural land policies in your agency, and the name of the staff-level official in your agency's NEPA office who will be responsible for carrying out the actions discussed in this memorandum.

Gus Speth

GUS SPETH
Chairman

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
722 JACKSON PLACE, N. W.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006

August 11, 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR HEADS OF AGENCIES

SUBJECT: Analysis of Impacts on Prime or Unique Agricultural Lands in Implementing the National Environmental Policy Act

Approximately one million acres of prime or unique agricultural lands* are being converted irreversibly to nonagricultural uses each year. Actions by federal agencies such as construction activities, development grants and loans, and federal land management decisions frequently contribute to the loss of prime and unique agricultural lands directly or indirectly. Often these losses are unintentional and are not necessarily related to accomplishing the agency mission.

On August 30, 1976, CEQ, in cooperation with the Department of Agriculture, issued a memorandum to the heads of federal agencies on the need for analysis of prime or unique farmlands in the preparation and review of environmental impact statements. The memorandum also recommended steps for agencies to take in making such analyses. Since that memorandum was issued, federal agencies' environmental impact statements have begun to include references to the presence of prime or unique farmlands that would be affected by the proposed federal action. Moreover, they have clearly indicated that many federal and federally assisted projects have direct and indirect adverse impacts on prime or unique farmlands.

Recent studies by the Council and the General Accounting Office indicate that federal agencies have not adequately accounted for the impacts of their proposed actions on agricultural land through the environmental assessment process. Furthermore, agency project plans and decisions have frequently not reflected the need and opportunities to protect these lands. The purpose of this memorandum is to alert federal agencies to the need and the opportunities to analyze agricultural land impacts more effectively in the project planning process and under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

Agencies can substantially improve their analysis of impacts on prime or unique agricultural lands by following closely our recently established NEPA regulations (40 C.F.R. 1500-1508, Nov. 29, 1978). The regulations apply to these lands in several specific respects. Determining the effects of a proposed federal agency action on prime or unique

---

* As used in this memorandum, prime and unique agricultural land is cropland, pastureland, rangeland, forest land or other land, but not urban built-up land, which is capable of being used as prime and unique farmland as defined by the Department of Agriculture (see attachment).

agricultural lands must be an integral part of the environmental assessment process, and must be a factor in deciding whether or not to prepare an environmental impact statement. For example, when an agency begins planning any action, it should, in the development of alternative actions, assess whether the alternatives will affect prime or unique agricultural lands. Then, recognizing the importance of these lands and any significant impacts that might affect them, it must study, develop, and describe appropriate alternative uses of available resources. (Sec. 1501.2(c).)

In determining whether to prepare an environmental impact statement, the regulations note that the "Unique characteristics of the geographic area such as ... prime farmlands ..." (Sec. 1508.27(b)(3)) must be considered, among others. If an agency determines that a proposal may significantly affect the quality of the human environment, it must initiate the scoping process (Sec. 1501.7) to identify those issues, including effects on prime or unique agricultural lands, that will be analyzed and considered, along with the alternatives available to avoid or mitigate adverse effects. An environmental impact statement must include a description of the area that will be affected by the proposed action (Sec. 1502.15) and an analysis of the environmental consequences of the proposal, including a discussion of "natural or depletable resource requirements and conservation potential of various alternative and mitigation measures" (Sec. 1502.16(f)). These resource requirements include prime or unique agricultural lands. The effects to be studied encompass indirect effects that may include "growth inducing effects and other effects related to induced changes in the pattern of land use ..." (Sec. 1508.8(b)). The cumulative effects of a proposal must be studied (Secs. 1508.7, 1508.8(b)), as must any mitigation measures that could be taken to lessen the impact on prime or unique agricultural lands (Secs. 1505.2(c), 1508.20). Agencies must also cooperate with state or local governments in their efforts to help retain these lands. (Secs. 1502.16(c), 1506.2(d).)

Federal agencies with technical data on the occurrence, value, or potential impacts of federal actions on these lands will provide the lead agency with data that may be useful in preparing environmental assessments or impact statements. The U.S. Department of Agriculture will cooperate with all agencies in planning projects or developments, in assessing impacts on prime or unique agricultural lands, and in defining alternatives. Technical data and assistance regarding agricultural land may be obtained by contacting the Chairperson of the USDA Land Use Committee (list attached) or any USDA office. In addition to providing technical data and assistance, the USDA will continue to emphasize the review of EISs on federal actions likely to have significant effects on prime and unique farmlands. Under Section 1504 of the regulations, USDA should refer to CEQ those proposed federal actions which it believes will be environmentally unsatisfactory because of unacceptable effects on prime or unique farmlands. CEQ will review such referrals, and take necessary steps in accordance with Section 1504 of our regulations.

Because prime and unique agricultural lands are a limited and valuable resource, the Council urges all agencies to make a particularly careful effort to apply the goals and policies of the National Environmental Policy Act to their actions and to obtain necessary assistance in their planning processes so that these lands will be maintained to meet our current national needs and the needs of future generations of Americans.

GUS SPETH
Chairman

Attachments

U.S. Department of Agriculture State Land Use
Committee Chairpersons

Mr. William B. Lingle
State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
P.O. Box 311
Auburn, Alabama 36830

Mr. Marvin C. Meier
Director, State and Private Forestry
2221 E. Northern Lights Blvd.
Box 6606
Anchorage, Alaska 99502

Mr. Thomas G. Rockenbaugh
State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
Federal Bldg., Rm. 3008
230 N. First Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85025

Mr. M. J. Spears
State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
P.O. Box 2323
Little Rock, Arkansas 72203

Mr. James H. Hansen
State Resource Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
2828 Chiles Road
P.O. Box 1019
Davis, California 95616

Mr. Sheldon G. Boone
State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
P.O. Box 17107
Denver, Colorado 80217

Ms. Maria Maiorana Russell
Assistant Director
Community Resource & Staff Dev.
Cooperative Extension Service
University of Connecticut
Storrs, Connecticut 06268

Mr. Otis D. Fincher
State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
204 Treadway Towers
9 East Lockerman Street
Dover, Delaware 19901

Mr. William E. Austin
State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
P.O. Box 1208
Gainesville, Florida 32601

Mr. Dwight Treadway
State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
P.O. Box 832
Athens, Georgia 30601

Mr. Jack P. Kanalz
State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
P.O. Box 50004
Honolulu, Hawaii 96850

Mr. Randall Johnson
Farmers Home Administration
U.S. Department of Agriculture
304 North Eighth Street
Boise, Idaho 83702

Mr. Warren J. Fitzgerald
State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
P.O. Box 678
Champaign, Illinois 61820

Mr. Robert Bollman
Assistant State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
5610 Crawfordsville Road, Suite 2200
Indianapolis, Indiana 46224

Mr. Rollin Swank
Assistant State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
693 Federal Bldg.
210 Walnut Street
Des Moines, Iowa 50309

Mr. John W. Tippie
State Conservationist
760 South Broadway
P.O. Box 600
Salina, Kansas 67401

Mr. Glen E. Murray
State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
333 Waller Avenue
Lexington, Kentucky 40504

Dr. Floyd L. Corty
Ag. Econ. & Agribusiness
Louisiana State University
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803

Mr. Eddie L. Wood
State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
USDA Bldg., Univ. of Maine
Orono, Maine 04473

Mr. Gerald R. Calhoun
State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
Rm. 522, Hartwick Bldg.
4321 Hartwick Road
College Park, Maryland 20740

Dr. Gene McMurtry
Assoc. Dir., Coop. Ext. Service
Stockbirdge Hall, Rm. 211
University of Massachusetts
Amherst, Massachusetts 01003

Dr. Raleigh Barlowe
323 Natural Resources Bldg.
Michigan State University
East Lansing, Michigan 48824

Mr. Harry M. Major
State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
316 North Robert Street
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

Mr. Billy C. Griffin
Deputy State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
P.O. Box 610
Jackson, Mississippi 39205

Mr. Kenneth G. McManus
State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
555 Vandiver Drive
P.O. Box 459
Columbia, Missouri 65201

Mr. Van K. Haderlie
State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
Federal Bldg.
P.O. Box 970
Bozeman, Montana 59715

Mr. Russell Schultz
Soil Conservation Service
Federal Bldg.
U.S. Courthouse, Rm. 345
Lincoln, Nebraska 68508

Mr. Gerald C. Thola
State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
P.O. Box 4850
Reno, Nevada 89505

Mr. Roger Leighton
James Hall
University of New Hampshire
Durham, New Hampshire 03824

Mr. Plater T. Campbell
State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
1370 Hamilton Street
P.O. Box 219
Somerset, New Jersey 08873

Mr. Thomas G. Schmeckpeper
Deputy Regional Forester
U.S. Forest Service
Rm. 5424, Federal Bldg.
517 Gold Avenue, S.W.
Albuquerque, N.M. 87102

Mr. Robert L. Hilliard
State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
U.S. Courthouse & Federal Bldg.
100 South Clinton St., Rm. 771
Syracuse, New York 13260

Mr. Mitchell E. Clary
Assistant State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
P.O. Box 27307
Raleigh, North Carolina 27611

Mr. Sylvester C. Ekart
Chairman
North Dakota Land Use Comm.
Federal Bldg.
P.O. Box 1458
Bismarck, North Dakota 58501

Mr. Robert R. Shaw
State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
Federal Bldg., Rm. 522
200 N. High Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Mr. Bobby T. Birdwell
Soil Conservation Service
Agricultural Center Office Bldg.
Farm Road & Brumley Street
Stillwater, Oklahoma 74074

Mr. Guy Nutt
State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
Federal Bldg., 16th Floor
1220 SW Third Avenue
Portland, Oregon 97204

Mr. Thomas B. King
Associate Director
Cooperative Extension Service
The Pennsylvania State University
323 Agricultural Admin. Bldg.
University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

Mr. Richard F. Kenyon
State Executive Director
Agricultural Stabilization and
Conservation Service
222 Quaker Lane
West Warwick, Rhode Island 02893

Mr. K.G. Smith
State Director
Farmers Home Administration
240 Stoneridge Drive
Columbia, South Carolina 29210

Mr. Wayne D. Testerman
State Executive Director
Agricultural Stabilization and
Conservation Service
200 Fourth Street, S.W.
Federal Bldg., Rm. 210
Huron, South Dakota 57350

Dr. M. Lloyd Downen
Director, Agricultural Extension
University of Tennessee
P.O. Box 1071
Knoxville, Tennessee 37901

Mr. George C. Marks
State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
P.O. Box 648
Temple, Texas 76501

Mr. Reed Page
State Director of the
Farmers Home Administration
125 South State St., Rm. 5434
Salt Lake City, Utah 84138

Mr. Coy Garrett
State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
One Burlington Square, Suite 205
Burlington, Vermont 05401

Mr. Manly S. Wilder
State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
400 North Eighth Street
P.O. Box 10026
Richmond, Virginia 23240

Mr. Lester N. Liebel
Ext. Rural Development Coord.
Cooperation Extension Service
Washington State University
417, Ag. Phase II
Pullman, Washington 99163

Mr. Craig M. Right
State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
P.O. Box 865
Morgantown, West Virginia 26505

Mr. Jerome C. Hytry
State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
4601 Hammersley Road
Madison, Wisconsin 53711

Mr. Robert W. Cobb
Assistant State Conservationist
Soil Conservation Service
P.O. Box 2440
Casper, Wyoming 82601

PART 657 - PRIME AND UNIQUE FARMLANDS

Subpart A - Important Farmlands Inventory

657.5 Identification of important farmlands.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 590a-f, q; 7 CFR 2.62; Pub. L. 95-87; 42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.

§ 657.5 Identification of important farmlands.

(a) Prime farmlands.

(1) General. Prime farmland is land that has the best combination of physical and chemical characteristics for producing food, feed, forage, fiber, and oilseed crops, and is also available for these uses (the land could be cropland, pastureland, rangeland, forest land, or other land, but not urban built-up land or water). It has the soil quality, growing season, and moisture supply needed to economically produce sustained high yields of crops when treated and managed, including water management, according to acceptable farming methods. In general, prime farmlands have an adequate and dependable water supply from precipitation or irrigation, a favorable temperature and growing season, acceptable acidity or alkalinity, acceptable salt and sodium content, and few or no rocks. They are permeable to water and air. Prime farmlands are not excessively erodible or saturated with water for a long period of time, and they either do not flood frequently or are protected from flooding. Examples of soils that qualify as prime farmland are Palouse silt loam, 0 to 7 percent slopes; Brookston silty clay loam, drained; and Tama silty clay loam, 0 to 5 percent slopes.

(2) Specific criteria. Prime farmlands meet all the following criteria: Terms used in this section are defined in USDA publications: "Soil Taxonomy, Agriculture Handbook 436"; "Soil Survey Manual, Agriculture Handbook 18"; "Rainfall-Erosion Losses from Cropland, Agriculture Handbook 282"; "Wind Erosion Forces in the United States and Their Use in Predicting Soil Loss, Agriculture Handbook 346"; and "Saline and Alkali Soils, Agriculture Handbook 60."

(i) The soils have:

Federal Register
Vol. 43 No. 21
January 31, 1978
Pages 4030-4033

(A) Aquic, udic, ustic, or xeric moisture regimes and sufficient available water capacity within a depth of 40 inches (1 meter), or in the root zone (root zone is the part of the soil that is penetrated or can be penetrated by plant roots) if the root zone is less than 40 inches deep, to produce the commonly grown cultivated crops (cultivated crops include, but are not limited to, grain, forage, fiber, oilseed, sugar beets, sugarcane, vegetables, tobacco, orchard, vineyard, and bush fruit crops) adapted to the region in 7 or more years out of 10; or

(B) Xeric or ustic moisture regimes in which the available water capacity is limited, but the area has a developed irrigation water supply that is dependable (a dependable water supply is one in which enough water is available for irrigation in 8 out of 10 years for the crops commonly grown) and of adequate quality: or,

(C) Aridic or torric moisture regimes and the area has a developed irrigation water supply that is dependable and of adequate quality; and,

(ii) The soils have a temperature regime that is frigid, mesic, thermic, or hyperthermic (pergelic and cryic regimes are excluded). These are soils that, at a depth of 20 inches (50 cm), have a mean annual temperature higher than 32° F (0° C). In addition, the mean summer temperature at this depth in soils with an O horizon is higher than 47° F (8° C); in soils that have no O horizon, the mean summer temperature is higher than 59° F (15° C); and,

(iii) The soils have a pH between 4.5 and 8.4 in all horizons within a depth of 40 inches (1 meter) or in the root zone if the root zone is less than 40 inches deep; and,

(iv) The soils either have no water table or have a water table that is maintained at a sufficient depth during the cropping season to allow cultivated crops common to the area to be grown; and,

(v) The soils can be managed so that, in all horizons within a depth of 40 inches (1 meter) or in the root zone if the root zone is less than 40 inches deep, during part of each year the conductivity of the saturation extract is less than 4 mmhos/cm and the exchangable sodium percentage (ESP) is less than 15; and,

(vi) The soils are not flooded frequently during the growing season (less often than once in 2 years); and,

(vii) The product of K (erodibility factor) x percent slope is less than 2.0, and the product of I (soils erodibility) x C (climatic factor) does not exceed 60; and

(viii) The soils have a permeability rate of at least 0.06 inch (0.15 cm) per hour in the upper 20 inches (50 cm) and the mean annual soil temperature at a depth of 20 inches (50 cm) is less than 59° F (15° C); the permeability rate is not a limiting factor if the mean annual soil temperature is 59° F (15° C) or higher; and,

(ix) Less than 10 percent of the surface layer (upper 6 inches) in these soils consists of rock fragments coarser than 3 inches (7.6 cm).

(b) Unique farmland.

(1) General. Unique farmland is land other than prime farmland that is used for the production of specific high value food and fiber crops. It has the special combination of soil quality, location, growing season, and moisture supply needed to economically produce sustained high quality and/or high yields of a specific crop when treated and managed according to acceptable farming methods. Examples of such crops are citrus, tree nuts, olives, cranberries, fruit, and vegetables.

(2) Specific characteristics of unique farmland.

(i) Is used for a specific high-value food or fiber crop.

(ii) Has a moisture supply that is adequate for the specific crop. The supply is from stored moisture, precipitation, or a developed irrigation system.

(iii) Combines favorable factors of soil quality, growing season, temperature, humidity, air drainage, elevation, aspect, or other conditions, such as nearness to market, that favor the growth of a specific food or fiber crop.

(c) Additional farmland of statewide importance. This is land, in addition to prime and unique farmlands, that is of statewide importance for the production of food, feed, fiber, forage, and oilseed crops. Criteria for defining and delineating this land are to be determined by the appropriate State agency or agencies. Generally, additional farmlands of statewide importance include those that are nearly prime farmland and that economically produce high yields of crops when treated and managed according to acceptable farming methods. Some may produce as high a yield as prime farmlands if conditions are favorable. In some States, additional farmlands of statewide importance may include tracts of land that have been designated for agriculture by State law.

(d) Additional farmland of local importance. In some local areas there is concern for certain additional farmlands for the production of food, feed, fiber, forage, and oilseed crops, even though these lands are not identified as having national or statewide importance. Where appropriate, these lands are to be identified by the local agency or agencies concerned. In places, additional farmlands of local importance may include tracts of land that have been designated for agriculture by local ordinance.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
JULY 1980
STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	NTIS ORDER NUMBER
CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD					
	FINAL	MULTIPLE PERMISSIVE ENTRY POLICY	800569	07-31-80	(NONE)
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE					
FOREST SERVICE	DRAFT	SALT RIVER WILD AND SCENIC STUDY, TONTO, NF	800557	07-28-80	(NONE)
		THOMPSON CREEK MOLYBDENUM PROJECT, CHALLIS NF	800507	07-09-80	(NONE)
		SPRUCE CREEK ADDITION WILDERNESS STUDY AREA	800518	07-14-80	(NONE)
		SAN FRANCISCO R. WILD AND SCENIC STUDY, APACHE NF	800558	07-28-80	(NONE)
	FINAL	GILBERT BAY/HOLKHAM BAY AREA, TONGASS NF	800502	07-07-80	(NONE)
		UPPER SHAVERS FORK SUB-UNIT, MONONGAHELA NF	800501	07-07-80	(NONE)
RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION					
	DRAFT	JK SMITH POWER STATION UNITS 1&2, TRANSMISSION	800499	07-02-80	(NONE)
	SUPPLEM	CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION UNIT 1 (DS-1)	800545	07-25-80	(NONE)
SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE					
	DRAFT	RATTLESNAKE CREEK WATERSHED	800543	07-25-80	(NONE)
		JUMPER CREEK WATERSHED PROTECTION	800561	07-29-80	(NONE)
	FINAL	LIMESTONE-MUDDY CREEK WATERSHED PROTECTION	800513	07-14-80	(NONE)
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE					
NAT'L OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMIN.					
	FINAL	PADILLA BAY ESTUARINE SANCTUARY, GRANT	800524	07-16-80	(NONE)
	SUPPLEM	1980 SALMON FISHERIES MANAGEMENT PLAN (FS-2)	800553	07-25-80	(NONE)
		HIGH SEAS SALMON FISHERY, FMP (FS)	800512	07-11-80	(NONE)
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE					
	DRAFT	DDT, COLLECTION, TRANSPORTATION AND DISPOSAL	800537	07-23-80	(NONE)
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, ARMY CORPS					
	FINAL	CACHE CREEK BASIN, CLEAR LAKE	800506	07-08-80	(NONE)
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY					
BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION					
	FINAL	BUCKLEY-SUMMER LAKE 500 KV LINE AND SUBSTATIONS	800550	07-25-80	(NONE)
DEPARTMENT OF HUD					
	DRAFT	AHWATUKEE PLANNED COMMUNITY	800519	07-14-80	(NONE)

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	NTIS ORDER NUMBER
DEPARTMENT OF HUD					
	DRAFT	CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN RANCH HOUSING DEVELOPMENT	800520	07-14-80	(NONE)
		GREENWOOD LAKES PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT	800539	07-24-80	(NONE)
	FINAL	ROCKRIMMON LAKE AREA, COLORADO SPRINGS	800495	07-01-80	(NONE)
		HOMESTEAD PLANNED DEVELOPMENT	800549	07-25-80	(NONE)
SECTION 104(H)					
	FINAL	ROCHESTER CITY CULTURAL DISTRICT PLAN	800511	07-11-80	(NONE)
		BURBANK CITY CENTER REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT (CDBG)	800517	07-14-80	(NONE)
		SOUTH UNIVERSITY INDUSTRIAL PARK, UDAG	800564	07-30-80	(NONE)
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR					
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT					
	DRAFT	1981 OCS OIL/GAS LEASE SALE # 56, SOUTH ATLANTIC	800500	07-07-80	(NONE)
		OCS OIL AND GAS SALES, #A66 AND #66	800527	07-17-80	(NONE)
		NORTH IDAHO TIMBER MANAGEMENT	800540	07-25-80	(NONE)
		JOHNSON VALLEY TO PARKER MOTORCYCLE RACE	800541	07-25-80	(NONE)
		E. SAN DIEGO COUNTY PLANNING AREA MANAGEMENT	800556	07-28-80	(NONE)
		LUKE AIR FORCE RANGE, CONTINUED USE	800566	07-31-80	(NONE)
	FINAL	ROCKY MOUNTAIN LIQUID HYDROCARBON PIPELINE, PERMIT	800497	07-02-80	(NONE)
		BANNOCK-ONEIDA GRAZING MANAGEMENT PLAN	800496	07-02-80	(NONE)
		SHIVWITS RESOURCE AREA LIVESTOCK GRAZING MGMT.	800522	07-15-80	(NONE)
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE					
	DRAFT	CAPE LOOKOUT NATIONAL SEASHORE, GENERAL MGMT. PLAN	800548	07-25-80	(NONE)
		VOYAGEURS NATIONAL PARK, WILDERNESS RECOMMENDATION	800567	07-31-80	(NONE)
WATER AND POWER RESOURCES SERVICES					
	DRAFT	CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT REAUTHORIZATION	800562	07-29-80	(NONE)
	FINAL	ANIMAS-LAPLATA WATER SUPPLY PROJECT, ANIMAS RIVER	800493	07-01-80	(NONE)
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION					
	DRAFT	IA-150 IMPROVEMENTS	800494	07-01-80	
		OREGON COAST HIGHWAY, US 101, OR-9, IMPROVEMENT	800498	07-02-80	(NONE)
		25TH STREET CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENT, FARGO	800525	07-16-80	(NONE)
		KALAMAZOO RAIL CONSOLIDATION PROGRAM	800538	07-23-80	(NONE)
		I-30, I-820 TO SUMMIT AVENUE, CITY OF FORT WORTH	800546	07-25-80	(NONE)
	FINAL	CA-203, MAMMOTH LAKES VILLAGE	800503	07-07-80	(NONE)
		PROJECT M 7500 (4), HORIZON DRIVE	800509	07-11-80	(NONE)
		TN-22, MARTIN BYPASS TO OLD TN-22 PORTION (F-1)	800523	07-15-80	(NONE)
		HAWAII BELT ROAD, HOLUALOA-PAPA	800551	07-25-80	(NONE)
		KAUAI BELT ROAD, KALIHIWAI TO PRINCETON (F-1)	800559	07-28-80	(NONE)
		WALNUT BLVD., KINGS BLVD. TO HIGHLAND DRIVE	800560	07-29-80	(NONE)

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	NTIS ORDER NUMBER
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY					
EPA, REGION 2	FINAL	MANASQUAN RIVER BASIN WWT FACILITIES, GRANT	800528	07-17-80	(NONE)
EPA, REGION 5	DRAFT	BEMIDJI WASTEWATER TREATMENT SYSTEM	800544	07-25-80	(NONE)
	FINAL	CITY OF PORTAGE WWT FACILITIES	800568	07-31-80	(NONE)
EPA, REGION 7	DRAFT	OLATHE WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES	800536	07-22-80	(NONE)
EPA, REGION 9	FINAL	TREATED WASTEWATER DISPOSAL PROGRAM, SOUTH BAY	800516	07-14-80	(NONE)
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION	FINAL	CROSS GENERATING STATION, LICENSE	800529	07-18-80	(NONE)
		PRUDHOE BAY SALES GAS CONDITIONING FACILITY C/O	800533	07-18-80	(NONE)
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	FINAL	FEDERAL OFFICE BUILDING CONSTRUCTION, BOSTON	800508	07-10-80	(NONE)
TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY	DRAFT	ALTERNATIVE ELECTRIC POWER RATE STRUCTURES	800547	07-25-80	(NONE)
	FINAL	NORTON RANCH URANIUM MILL PROCESSING	800514	07-14-80	(NONE)
U. S. POSTAL SERVICE	FINAL	WESTPORT POSTAL STATION BUILDING/PARKING EXPANSION	800515	07-14-80	(NONE)
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS	DRAFT	CANE CREEK WATER SUPPLY RESERVOIR, PERMIT	800504	07-07-80	(NONE)
		BRUSH CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES FLOOD PROTECTION	800535	07-21-80	(NONE)
		FLINT TOWNSHIP FLOOD CONTROL	800532	07-18-80	(NONE)
		MT. SAINT HELENS RECOVERY OPERATION	800542	07-25-80	(NONE)
		GARAPAN FLOOD CONTROL, SAIPAN ISLAND	800565	07-31-80	(NONE)
	FINAL	FOLLY BEACH, BEACH EROSION CONTROL	800491	07-11-80	(NONE)
		LOUISA GENERATING STATION, PERMIT	800490	07-01-80	(NONE)
		WILLAMETTE RESERVOIR SYSTEM, O/M CONTINUATION	800505	07-07-80	(NONE)
		HOOKERS PRAIRIE PHOSPHATE MINE, PERMIT	800526	07-17-80	(NONE)
	SUPPLEM	ROSEAU RIVER FLOOD CONTROL, 404 (B)(1), (DS-1)	800492	07-01-80	(NONE)
		HAY CREEK FLOOD CONTROL, BIRDSBORO	800510	07-11-80	(NONE)
		TWIN VALLEY LAKE, WILD RIVER FLOOD CONTROL	800521	07-15-80	(NONE)
		SANTA ANA RIVER MAIN STEM (DS-1)	800530	07-18-80	(NONE)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
JULY 1980
STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
ALASKA		FINAL	PRUDHOE BAY SALES GAS CONDITIONING FACILITY C/O	800533	07-18-80	FERC
			GILBERT BAY/HOLKHAM BAY AREA, TONGASS NF	800502	07-07-80	USDA
ARIZONA	SEVERAL	DRAFT	LUKE AIR FORCE RANGE, CONTINUED USE	800566	07-31-80	DOI
	GILA	DRAFT	SALT RIVER WILD AND SCENIC STUDY, TONTO, NF	800557	07-28-80	USDA
	GREENLEE	DRAFT	SAN FRANCISCO R. WILD AND SCENIC STUDY, APACHE NF	800558	07-28-80	USDA
	MARICOPA	DRAFT	AHWATUKEE PLANNED COMMUNITY	800519	07-14-80	HUD
	MOHAVE	FINAL	SHIVWITS RESOURCE AREA LIVESTOCK GRAZING MGMT.	800522	07-15-80	DOI
ATLANTIC OCEAN		DRAFT	1981 OCS OIL/GAS LEASE SALE # 56, SOUTH ATLANTIC	800500	07-07-80	DOI
CALIFORNIA	SEVERAL	DRAFT	CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT REAUTHORIZATION	800562	07-29-80	DOI
	LAKE	FINAL	CACHE CREEK BASIN, CLEAR LAKE	800506	07-08-80	COE
	LOS ANGELES	FINAL	BURBANK CITY CENTER REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT (CDBG)	800517	07-14-80	HUD
	MONO	FINAL	CA-203, MAMMOTH LAKES VILLAGE	800503	07-07-80	DOT
	ORANGE	SUPPLE	SANTA ANA RIVER MAIN STEM (DS-1)	800530	07-18-80	COE
	RIVERSIDE	SUPPLE	SANTA ANA RIVER MAIN STEM (DS-1)	800530	07-18-80	COE
	SAN BERNARDINO	DRAFT	JOHNSON VALLEY TO PARKER MOTORCYCLE RACE	800541	07-25-80	DOI
		SUPPLE	SANTA ANA RIVER MAIN STEM (DS-1)	800530	07-18-80	COE
	SAN DIEGO	DRAFT	E. SAN DIEGO COUNTY PLANNING AREA MANAGEMENT	800556	07-28-80	DOI
	SANTA CLARA	FINAL	TREATED WASTEWATER DISPOSAL PROGRAM, SOUTH BAY	800516	07-14-80	EPA
	YOLO	FINAL	CACHE CREEK BASIN, CLEAR LAKE	800506	07-08-80	COE
COLORADO		DRAFT	CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN RANCH HOUSING DEVELOPMENT	800520	07-14-80	HUD
		FINAL	ANIMAS-LAPLATA WATER SUPPLY PROJECT, ANIMAS RIVER	800493	07-01-80	DOI
	EL PASO	FINAL	ROCKRIMMON LAKE AREA, COLORADO SPRINGS	800495	07-01-80	HUD
	MESA	FINAL	PROJECT M 7500 (4), HORIZON DRIVE	800509	07-11-80	DOT
	PITKIN	DRAFT	SPRUCE CREEK ADDITION WILDERNESS STUDY AREA	800518	07-14-80	USDA
FLORIDA	POLK	FINAL	HOOKERS PRAIRIE PHOSPHATE MINE, PERMIT	800526	07-17-80	COE
	SEMINOLE	DRAFT	GREENWOOD LAKES PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT	800539	07-24-80	HUD
	SUMTER	DRAFT	JUMPER CREEK WATERSHED PROTECTION	800561	07-29-80	USDA

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
GULF OF MEXICO		DRAFT	OCS OIL AND GAS SALES, #A66 AND #66	800527	07-17-80	DOI
HAWAII	KONA	FINAL	HAWAII BELT ROAD, HOLUALOA-PAPA	800551	07-25-80	DOT
	KAUAI		KAUAI BELT ROAD, KALIHIWAI TO PRINCEVILLE (F-1)	800559	07-28-80	DOT
IDAHO	SEVERAL	DRAFT	NORTH IDAHO TIMBER MANAGEMENT	800540	07-25-80	DOI
		FINAL	BANNOCK-ONEIDA GRAZING MANAGEMENT PLAN	800496	07-02-80	DOI
	CUSTER	DRAFT	THOMPSON CREEK MOLYBDENUM PROJECT, CHALLIS NF	800507	07-09-80	USDA
IOWA	BUCHANAN	DRAFT	IA-150 IMPROVEMENTS	800494	07-01-80	DOT
	LOUISA	FINAL	LOUISA GENERATING STATION, PERMIT	800490	07-01-80	COE
	MUSCATINE	FINAL	LOUISA GENERATING STATION, PERMIT	800490	07-01-80	COE
KANSAS		DRAFT	BRUSH CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES FLOOD PROTECTION	800535	07-21-80	COE
	JOHNSON	DRAFT	OLATHE WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES	800536	07-22-80	EPA
KENTUCKY	CLARK	DRAFT	JK SMITH POWER STATION UNITS 1&2, TRANSMISSION	800499	07-02-80	USDA
MASSACHUSETTS	SUFFOLK	FINAL	FEDERAL OFFICE BUILDING CONSTRUCTION, BOSTON	800508	07-10-80	GSA
MICHIGAN	GENESEE	DRAFT	FLINT TOWNSHIP FLOOD CONTROL	800532	07-18-80	COE
	KALAMAZOO	DRAFT	KALAMAZOO RAIL CONSOLIDATION PROGRAM	800538	07-23-80	DOT
MINNESOTA	BELTRAMI	DRAFT	BEMIDJI WASTEWATER TREATMENT SYSTEM	800544	07-25-80	EPA
	KITTSON		SUPPLEROSEAU RIVER FLOOD CONTROL, 404 (B)(1), (DS-1)	800492	07-01-80	COE
	KOOCHICHING	DRAFT	VOYAGEURS NATIONAL PARK, WILDERNESS RECOMMENDATION	800567	07-31-80	DOI
	NORMAN		SUPPLETWIN VALLEY LAKE, WILD RIVER FLOOD CONTROL	800521	07-15-80	COE
	ROSEAU		SUPPLEROSEAU RIVER FLOOD CONTROL, 404 (B)(1), (DS-1)	800492	07-01-80	COE
	ST. LOUIS	DRAFT	VOYAGEURS NATIONAL PARK, WILDERNESS RECOMMENDATION	800567	07-31-80	DOI
MISSOURI		DRAFT	BRUSH CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES FLOOD PROTECTION	800535	07-21-80	COE

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
MISSOURI	JACKSON	FINAL	WESTPORT POSTAL STATION BUILDING/PARKING EXPANSION	800515	07-14-80	USPS
NEW JERSEY	MONMOUTH	FINAL	MANASQUAN RIVER BASIN WWT FACILITIES, GRANT	800528	07-17-80	EPA
NEW MEXICO		FINAL	ANIMAS-LAPLATA WATER SUPPLY PROJECT, ANIMAS RIVER	800493	07-01-80	DOI
	BERNALILLO	FINAL	SOUTH UNIVERSITY INDUSTRIAL PARK, UDAG	800564	07-30-80	HUD
	MONTEZUMA	FINAL	ANIMAS-LAPLATA WATER SUPPLY PROJECT, ANIMAS RIVER	800493	07-01-80	DOI
NEW YORK	MONROE	FINAL	ROCHESTER CITY CULTURAL DISTRICT PLAN	800511	07-11-80	HUD
NORTH CAROLINA	CARTERET	DRAFT	CAPE LOOKOUT NATIONAL SEASHORE, GENERAL MGMT. PLAN	800548	07-25-80	DOI
	DUPLIN	FINAL	LIMESTONE-MUDDY CREEK WATERSHED PROTECTION	800513	07-14-80	USDA
	ORANGE	DRAFT	CANE CREEK WATER SUPPLY RESERVIOR, PERMIT	800504	07-07-80	COE
NORTH DAKOTA	CASS	DRAFT	25TH STREET CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENT, FARGO	800525	07-16-80	DOT
	STUTSMAN	FINAL	HOMESTEAD PLANNED DEVELOPMENT	800549	07-25-80	HUD
OHIO	SEVERAL	DRAFT	RATTLESNAKE CREEK WATERSHED	800543	07-25-80	USDA
OREGON		DRAFT	MT. SAINT HELENS RECOVERY OPERATION	800542	07-25-80	COE
	SEVERAL	FINAL	WILLAMETTE RESERVOIR SYSTEM, O/M CONTINUATION	800505	07-07-80	COE
		FINAL	BUCKLEY-SUMMER LAKE 500 KV LINE AND SUBSTATIONS	800550	07-25-80	DOE
	BENTON	FINAL	WALNUT BLVD., KINGS BLVD. TO HIGHLAND DRIVE	800560	07-29-80	DOT
	LANE	DRAFT	OREGON COAST HIGHWAY, US 101, OR-9, IMPROVEMENT	800498	07-02-80	DOT
PENNSYLVANIA	BERKS		SUPPLEHAY CREEK FLOOD CONTROL, BIRDSBORO	800510	07-11-80	COE
PROGRAMMATIC		FINAL	MULTIPLE PERMISSIVE ENTRY POLICY	800569	07-31-80	CAB
PACIFIC OCEAN		SUPPLE	1980 SALMON FISHERIES MANAGEMENT PLAN (FS-2)	800553	07-25-80	DOC
			HIGH SEAS SALMON FISHERY, FMP (FS)	800512	07-11-80	DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
NEGULATORY		DRAFT	ALTERNATIVE ELECTRIC POWER RATE STRUCTURES	800547	07-25-80	TVA
		SUPPLE	HIGH SEAS SALMON FISHERY, FMP (FS)	800512	07-11-80	DOC
SEVERAL		DRAFT	DDT, COLLECTION, TRANSPORTATION AND DISPOSAL	800537	07-23-80	DOD
		FINAL	ROCKY MOUNTAIN LIQUID HYDROCARBON PIPELINE, PERMIT	800497	07-02-80	DOI
SOUTH CAROLINA	BERKELEY	FINAL	CROSS GENERATING STATION, LICENSE	800529	07-18-80	FERC
	CHARLESTON	FINAL	FOLLY BEACH, BEACH EROSION CONTROL	800491	07-11-80	COE
	YORK		SUPPLECATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION UNIT 1 (DS-1)	800545	07-25-80	USDA
TENNESSEE	WEAKLEY	FINAL	TN-22, MARTIN BYPASS TO OLD TN-22 PORTION (F-1)	800523	07-15-80	DOT
TEXAS	TARRANT	DRAFT	I-30, I-820 TO SUMMIT AVENUE, CITY OF FORT WORTH	800546	07-25-80	DOT
US TERRITORY		DRAFT	GARAPAN FLOOD CONTROL, SAIPAN ISLAND	800565	07-31-80	COE
WASHINGTON		DRAFT	MT. SAINT HELENS RECOVERY OPERATION	800542	07-25-80	COE
	SKAGIT	FINAL	PADILLA BAY ESTUARINE SANCTUARY, GRANT	800524	07-16-80	DOC
WEST VIRGINIA	POCAHONTAS	FINAL	UPPER SHAVERS FORK SUB-UNIT, MONONGAHELA NF	800501	07-07-80	USDA
	RANDOLPH	FINAL	UPPER SHAVERS FORK SUB-UNIT, MONONGAHELA NF	800501	07-07-80	USDA
WISCONSIN	COLUMBIA	FINAL	CITY OF PORTAGE WWT FACILITIES	800568	07-31-80	EPA
WYOMING	CONVERSE	FINAL	MORTON RANCH URANIUM MILL PROCESSING	800514	07-14-80	TVA

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
JULY 1980
102 STATEMENT SUMMARY

AGENCY	DRAFT 102'S (NO FINAL)	FINAL 102'S	SUPPLEMENTS	TOTAL
CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD	0	1	0	1
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	7	3	1	11
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	0	1	2	3
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE	1	0	0	1
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, ARMY CORPS	0	1	0	1
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	0	1	0	1
DEPARTMENT OF HUD	3	5	0	8
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR	9	4	0	13
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	5	6	0	11
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	2	3	0	5
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION	0	2	0	2
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	0	1	0	1
TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY	1	1	0	2
U. S. POSTAL SERVICE	0	1	0	1
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS	5	4	4	13
TOTALS	33	34	7	74

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

CONTACT: MR. STEVE ROTHENBURG
OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL
CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD
1825 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20428
(202) 673-5205

FINAL	DATE
MULTIPLE PERMISSIVE ENTRY POLICY	07/31

PROGRAMMATIC
THIS STATEMENT ADDRESSES THE OVERALL IMPACTS OF THE DEREGULATION OF AVIATION ROUTES AND RATES. PROPOSED IS THE GRANTING OF MULTIPLE PERMISSIVE AUTHORITY TO ALL FIT, WILLING, AND ABLE APPLICANTS FOR PASSENGER AIR SERVICE FOR PARTICULAR CITY-PAIR MARKETS. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDER THE STATUS QUO; A GENERAL POLICY OF MULTIPLE, PERMISSIVE ENTRY TO ALL FIT, WILLING AND ABLE APPLICANTS; LICENSING BY TRAFFIC PREDICTIONS IN PARTICULAR MARKETS; AND OTHER CRITERIA.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA
LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS, INDIVIDUALS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 800569)

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

CONTACT: MR. RICHARD MAKINEN
OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY
ATTN: DAEN-CWR-P
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF ENGINEERS
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
20 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20314
(202) 272-0121

DRAFT DATE

FLINT TOWNSHIP FLOOD CONTROL 07/18

MICHIGAN
COUNTY: GENESEE
PROPOSED IS A FLOOD CONTROL PLAN FOR FLINT TOWNSHIP, GENESEE COUNTY, MICHIGAN. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDER: 1) DEVELOPMENT OF A 17 ACRE PARK; 2) DEMOLITION, RELOCATION, OR FLOODPROOFING OF 20 HOMES; 3) CONSTRUCTION OF 3200 FEET OF EARTH DIKING; 4) CHANNELIZATION; AND 5) NO ACTION.
(DETROIT DISTRICT)
(EIS ORDER # 800532)

BRUSH CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES FLOOD PROTECTION 07/21

MISSOURI KANSAS
PROPOSED IS A FLOOD PROTECTION PLAN FOR BRUSH CREEK AND ITS TRIBUTARIES IN JACKSON COUNTY, MISSOURI, AND JOHNSON AND WYANDOTTE COUNTIES, KANSAS. SIX PLANS ARE BEING CONSIDERED WHICH ARE: 1) LIMITED BRIDGE AND CHANNEL MODIFICATIONS, 2) EXTENSIVE BRIDGE AND CHANNEL MODIFICATIONS, 3) SAME AS PLAN 2 ABOVE WITH DELETION OF THE VOLKER FOUNTAIN MALL OPEN CHANNEL, 4) UNDERGROUND DIVERSION TUNNEL TO DIVERT WATERS TO THE KANSAS RIVER, AND 5) A COMBINATION OF PLANS 1 AND 4 ABOVE. COOPERATING AGENCIES INCLUDE DOI, EPA AND FEMA.
(KANSAS CITY DISTRICT)
(EIS ORDER # 800535)

CANE CREEK WATER SUPPLY RESERVOIR, PERMIT 07/07

NORTH CAROLINA
COUNTY: ORANGE
PROPOSED IS THE ISSUANCE OF A PERMIT FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A 10 MILLION GALLON PER DAY (MGD) RESERVOIR ON CANE CREEK IN ORANGE COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA, TO AUGMENT UNIVERSITY LAKE FOR A COMBINED SAFE YIELD OF 13 MGD. THE RESERVOIR WOULD BE CREATED BY CONSTRUCTION OF AN EARTHFILL DAM. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED ARE: 1) B. EVERRETT JORDAN LAKE-10 MGD AUGMENTATION TO UNIVERSITY LAKE, 2) HAW RIVER NEAR BYNUM-7 MGD AUGMENTATION OF UNIVERSITY LAKE AND 3) EXPANSION OF UNIVERSITY LAKE-10 MGD TOTAL SAFE YIELD.
(WILMINGTON DISTRICT)
(EIS ORDER # 800504)

DRAFT DATE

MT. SAINT HELENS RECOVERY OPERATION 07/25

OREGON WASHINGTON
PROPOSED ARE THE MT. SAINT HELENS RECOVERY OPERATIONS FOR COWLITZ COUNTY, WASHINGTON AND COLUMBIA COUNTY, OREGON. THE OPERATIONS WILL INCLUDE: 1) REESTABLISHMENT OF NAVIGABLE CHANNELS IN THE COLUMBIA AND COWLITZ RIVERS, 2) REMOVAL OF SEDIMENT AND DEBRIS DEPOSITS IN THE COWLITZ RIVER AND TOUTLE RIVER, AND 3) CONSTRUCTION OF TWO DEBRIS RETAINING AND ASSOCIATED CATCH BASINS ON THE TOUTLE RIVER.
(PORTLAND DISTRICT)
(EIS ORDER # 800542)

GARAPAN FLOOD CONTROL, SAIPAN ISLAND 07/31

US TERRITORY
PROPOSED IS A FLOOD CONTROL PLAN FOR THE VILLAGE OF GARAPAN ON SAIPAN ISLAND, PART OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS. THE ALTERNATIVES ARE: 1) CHANNELIZING THE FLOODFLOW, 2) PERMANENT EVACUATION, AND 3) RELOCATION. THE CHANNEL ALIGNMENTS ALL INCLUDE A CHANNELIZED SECTION ALONG THE EASTERN EDGE OF THE WEST COAST HIGHWAY, INVOLVING ONE OF THREE DIFFERENT OUTLET ALIGNMENTS. THE RELOCATION PLAN INVOLVES THE PHYSICAL REMOVAL OF ALL DAMAGEABLE STRUCTURES LOCATED IN THE FLOODPLAIN. COOPERATING AGENCY IS DOI.
(HONOLULU DISTRICT)
(EIS ORDER # 800565)

FINAL DATE

CACHE CREEK BASIN, CLEAR LAKE 07/08

CALIFORNIA
COUNTY: YOLO LAKE
THE PROPOSED PLAN INCLUDES CONSTRUCTION OF FLOOD CONTROL FACILITIES AT THE OUTLET OF CLEAR LAKE IN LAKE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, TO PROVIDE FLOOD PROTECTION TO EXISTING AND FUTURE URBAN AND AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT ON THE CLEAR LAKE RIM. THE PLAN CALLS FOR WIDENING AND DEEPENING THE EXISTING CLEAR LAKE OUTLET CHANNEL, CONSTRUCTING A BYPASS CHANNEL, CONSTRUCTING A DIVERSION CONTROL STRUCTURE AND WEIR, AND REQUIRING FUTURE DEVELOPMENT TO FLOOD PROOF TO THE ELEVATION OF THE PREPROJECT FLOOD PLAIN. THE PLAN ALSO INCLUDES SEDIMENT CONTROL ON CACHE CREEK IN YOLO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, TO PRESERVE THE INTEGRITY OF THE SACRAMENTO RIVER FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USDA EPA DOT DOC AHP
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER # 800506)

HOOKERS PRAIRIE PHOSPHATE MINE, PERMIT 07/17

FLORIDA
COUNTY: POLK
PROPOSED IS THE ISSUANCE OF A PERMIT TO THE W. R. GRACE AND COMPANY TO MINE FOR PHOSPHATE ORE IN WETLANDS OF HOOKERS PRAIRIE, POLK COUNTY, FLORIDA. APPROXIMATELY 5,387 ACRES, OF WHICH 3,713 ACRES ARE WETLANDS, ARE TO BE MINED. ALTERNATIVES INCLUDE: 1) MINING IN WETLANDS AND UPLANDS, WITH SURFACE CLAY DISPOSAL PONDS; 2) WETLAND AND UPLAND MINING WITHOUT CLAY DISPOSAL PONDS; 3) UPLAND MINING ONLY, WITH OR WITHOUT CLAY DISPOSAL PONDS; AND 4) PERMIT THE INITIAL ACTION.
(JACKSONVILLE DISTRICT)

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA
STATE AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER # 800526)

LOUISA GENERATING STATION, PERMIT 07/01

IOWA
COUNTY: LOUISA MUSCATINE
PROPOSED IS THE CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OF A 650,000 KILOWATT, COAL-FIRED, STEAM ELECTRIC GENERATING STATION ADJACENT TO THE MISSISSIPPI RIVER IN LOUISA AND MUSCATINE COUNTIES, IOWA, TO BE KNOWN AS LOUISA GENERATING STATION. INCLUDED ON THE 1655 ACRE SITE WILL BE A MAIN BUILDING COMPLEX HOUSING THE STEAM BOILER, A 650,000 KILOWATT TURBINE GENERATOR, AIR POLLUTION CONTROL FACILITIES, COAL AND MATERIAL HANDLING AND STORAGE AREAS; A 610 FOOT STACK; COOLING TOWER; 4 WELLS; ELECTRIC SUBSTATION; RIVER DISCHARGE STRUCTURE; SPUR RAIL LINE; AND ASH STORAGE PONDS. THE PROJECT WILL ALSO REQUIRE THREE 345KV TRANSMISSION LINES.
(ROCK ISLAND DISTRICT)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOI EPA
STATE AGENCIES
GROUPS AND INDIVIDUALS
(EIS ORDER # 800490)

WILLAMETTE RESERVOIR SYSTEM, O/M CONTINU'TION 07/07

OREGON
COUNTY: SEVERAL
PROPOSED IS THE CONTINUATION OF THE CURRENT OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF THE WILLAMETTE RESERVOIR SYSTEM LOCATED IN SEVERAL COUNTIES OF OREGON. THE SYSTEM CONSISTS OF ELEVEN STORAGE AND TWO REREGULATING RESERVOIRS CONSTRUCTED BY THE COE ON THE WILLAMETTE RIVER AND ITS TRIBUTARIES. SPECIFIC AUTHORIZED PURPOSES OF THE PROJECT ARE FLOOD CONTROL, IRRIGATION, NAVIGATION, POWER PRODUCTION, MAINTENANCE OF FISH LIFE THROUGH MINIMUM FLOWS AND STREAM PURIFICATION. INCIDENTAL PURPOSES OF THE PROJECT INCLUDE RECREATION, WILDLIFE AND MUNICIPAL AND INDUSTRIAL WATER SUPPLIES.
(PORTLAND DISTRICT)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOI DOC EPA FERC DLAB
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS, INDIVIDUALS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 800505)

FINAL DATE

FOLLY BEACH, BEACH EROSION CONTROL 07/11

SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY: CHARLESTON
PROPOSED IS A BEACH EROSION CONTROL AND HURRICANE PROTECTION PROJECT FOR FOLLY BEACH ON FOLLY ISLAND, CHARLESTON COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA. THE IMPROVEMENTS INCLUDE RESTORATION AND PERIODIC RENOURISHMENT OF A CONTINUOUS REACH OF BEACH FOR 16,860 FEET, WHICH WOULD REQUIRE 684,000 CUBIC YARDS OF FILL MATERIAL. A BERM 25 FEET WIDE WOULD BE CONSTRUCTED ALONG THE ENTIRE LENGTH OF THE PROJECT. ADDITIONAL SANITARY FACILITIES WILL ALSO BE CONSTRUCTED.
(CHARLESTON DISTRICT)
COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW FERC DOC DOI EPA
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS
(EIS ORDER # 800491)

DRAFT SUPPLEMENT DATE

SANTA ANA RIVER MAIN STEM (DS-1) 07/18

CALIFORNIA
COUNTY: ORANGE RIVERSIDE SAN BERNARDINO
PROPOSED IS INCREASED FLOOD PROTECTION ALONG THE LENGTH OF THE SANTA ANA RIVER AND TWO TRIBUTARIES, SANTIAGO CREEK AND OAK STREET DRAIN IN THE COUNTIES OF ORANGE, RIVERSIDE AND SAN BERNARDINO, CALIFORNIA. FIVE STRUCTURAL PLANS AND NO ACTION ARE CONSIDERED. THIS STATEMENT SUPPLEMENTS FINAL EIS, #781002, FILED 10-20-78.
(LOS ANGELES DISTRICT)
(EIS ORDER # 800530)

ROSEAU RIVER FLOOD CONTROL, 404 (B)(1), (DS-1) 07/01

MINNESOTA
COUNTY: ROSEAU KITTSON
PROPOSED IS A FLOOD CONTROL PLAN FOR THE ROSEAU RIVER BASIN IN ROSEAU AND KITTSON COUNTIES, MINNESOTA AND SOUTHCENTRAL MANITOBA, CANADA. APPROXIMATELY 60 PERCENT OF THE BASIN LIES WITHIN THE UNITED STATES. CHANNEL MODIFICATION WILL BEGIN FROM RIVER MILE 93.5 TO RIVER MILE 137.4 AT THE ROSEAU DAM. THE PLAN ALSO INCLUDES REMEDIAL WORK ALONG APPROXIMATELY 10 MILES OF THE RIVER IN CANADA. THE ALTERNATIVES ARE: 1) NO BUILD, 2) NON-STRUCTURAL MEASURES, 3) STRUCTURAL ALTERNATIVES AND 4) SEVERAL CHANNEL MODIFICATIONS.
(ST. PAUL DISTRICT)
(EIS ORDER # 800492)

DRAFT SUPPLEMENT DATE

TWIN VALLEY LAKE WILD RIVER FLOOD CONTROL 07/15

MINNESOTA
COUNTY: NORMAN
PROPOSED IS A FLOOD CONTROL PLAN FOR THE TWIN VALLEY LAKE-WILD RICE RIVER IN NORMAN COUNTY, MINNESOTA. THIS STATEMENT SUPPLEMENTS FINAL EIS, #771215, DATED 9-29-77, AND CONTAINS: 1) A FISH AND WILDLIFE COMPENSATION PLAN, 2) WATER QUALITY EVALUATION, AND 3) SECTION 404 EVALUATION.
(ST. PAUL DISTRICT)
(EIS ORDER # 800521)

HAY CREEK FLOOD CONTROL, BIRDSBORO 07/11

PENNSYLVANIA
COUNTY: BERKS
PROPOSED IS A FLOOD CONTROL PLAN FOR HAY CREEK, IN THE BOROUGH OF BIRDSBORO, BERKS COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA. THE PLAN CONSISTS OF PLACING LANDFILL IN ABOUT 9 ACRES OF REDEVELOPMENT AREA WHERE COMMERCIAL AND RESIDENTIAL FACILITIES ARE PLANNED. THE FILL AVERAGES FIFTEEN FEET ABOVE EXISTING GROUND. MAIN STREET WOULD BE RAISED AND REALIGNED WITH A NEW BRIDGE ACROSS HAY CREEK. THE EXISTING RIGHT BANK REVETMENT WOULD BE REPLACED WITH RIPRAP AND A TWENTY-FOOT WIDE UTILITY CORRIDOR WOULD BE LEFT AT THE TOP OF THE RIGHT BANK TO PROVIDE A FLOODWAY. THE ALTERNATIVES ARE: 1) CONSTRUCTION OF LEVEES AND FLOODWALLS AND 2) NO ACTION.
(PHILADELPHIA DISTRICT)
(EIS ORDER # 800510)

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

CONTACT: DR. ROBERT T. MIKI
ACTING DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY
FOR REGULATORY POLICY
ROOM 7614
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20230
(202) 377-2482

NAT'L OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMIN.

FINAL DATE

PADILLA BAY ESTUARINE SANCTUARY, GRANT 07/16

WASHINGTON
COUNTY: SKAGIT
PROPOSED IS THE AWARDING OF A GRANT FOR THE ACQUISITION OF 11,612 ACRES AND THE CREATION OF THE PADILLA BAY ESTUARINE SANCTUARY LOCATED IN SKAGIT COUNTY, WASHINGTON. OF THE TOTAL AMOUNT, APPROXIMATELY 1,260 ACRES WILL BE ACQUIRED IN LESS THAN FEE SIMPLE, ALL OTHER IN FEE. THE LANDS TO BE ACQUIRED ARE PRESENTLY OWNED BY STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES; AND PRIVATE BUSINESSES AND OWNERS.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP COE HUD DOI DOT FERC
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS, INDIVIDUALS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 800524)

FINAL SUPPLEMENT DATE

1980 SALMON FISHERIES MANAGEMENT PLAN (FS-2) 07/25

PACIFIC OCEAN
THIS STATEMENT SUPPLEMENTS A FINAL EIS, #780221, DATED 3-8-78 CONCERNING THE MANAGEMENT OF SALMON FISHERIES OFF THE COASTS OF CALIFORNIA, WASHINGTON AND OREGON. THE STATEMENT ADDRESSES THE LATEST SPAWNING ESCAPEMENT AND STOCK ASSESSMENT DATA WHICH INDICATES THAT MANY OF THE 1980 SALMON RUNS WILL BE DEPRESSED, PARTICULARLY COASTAL COHO STOCKS, CALIFORNIA CHINOOKS, AND UPRIVER COLUMBIA CHINOOK STOCKS. MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES FOR 1980 REMAIN THE SAME AS THOSE LISTED IN THE FEIS.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER # 800553)

HIGH SEAS SALMON FISHERY, FMP (FS) 07/11

PACIFIC OCEAN REGULATORY
PROPOSED IS THE AMENDMENT OF THE HIGH SEAS SALMON FISHERY FMP. THE AMENDMENTS WOULD: 1) EXTEND THE FMP THROUGH APRIL 14, 1981, 2) CONTINUE THE MORATORIUM ON NEW ENTRY IN THE POWER TROLL FISHERY TO APRIL 14, 1981, 3) STRENGTHEN IN-SEASON MANAGEMENT AND PROVIDE FOR AN AREA-WIDE CLOSURE FOR TEN DAYS IN JULY, 4) REQUIRE ALL TROLL CAUGHT CHINOOK AND COHO SALMON BE LANDED WITH HEADS ON, 5) PROHIBIT POSSESSION OF SPECIES IN CLOSED AREAS, 6) RESTRICT TROLLERS TO FOUR OR SIX LINES, 7) REDEFINE REGULATORY AREAS NUMBERS 154, 157 AND 189. THIS STATEMENT SUPPLEMENTS A FINAL EIS, #790076, FILED 1-19-79.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
INDIVIDUALS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER #800512)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

CONTACT: COLONEL R. A. HAMBLIN
DIRECTOR
OFFICE OF PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
DEFENSE PROPERTY DISPOSAL SERVICE
FEDERAL CENTER
BATTLE CREEK, MICHIGAN
616-962-6511 EXT. 6672

DRAFT DATE

DDT, COLLECTION, TRANSPORTATION AND DISPOSAL 07/23

SEVERAL
THIS DOCUMENT IS A GENERIC STATEMENT CONCERNING THE PREPARATION OF SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE SAFE COLLECTION, TRANSPORTATION AND FINAL DISPOSAL OF DOD DDT STOCKS. THESE STOCKS, WHICH INCLUDE APPROXIMATELY 240,000 GALLONS LIQUID AND 210,000 POUNDS OF POWDER, ARE LOCATED AT 70 MILITARY DEPOTS IN 34 STATES, PUERTO RICO, GUAM, AND THREE FOREIGN COUNTRIES. DISPOSAL METHODS TO BE PERMITTED ARE OCEAN INCINERATION AND ON-LAND INCINERATION AS WELL AS SECURE LANDFILL AND RETURN TO MANUFACTURER UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES.
(EIS ORDER # 800537)

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

CONTACT: DR. ROBERT STERN
ACTING DIRECTOR
NEPA AFFAIRS DIVISION
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
MAIL STATION 4G-064, FORRESTAL BLDG.
WASHINGTON, DC 20585
(202) 252-4600

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION

FINAL DATE

BUCKLEY-SUMMER LAKE 500 KV LINE AND SUBSTATIONS 07/25

OREGON
COUNTY: SEVERAL
PROPOSED IS THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE BUCKLEY-SUMMER LAKE 500-KV TRANSMISSION LINE AND RELATED FACILITIES IN WASCO, JEFFERSON, CROOK, DESCHUTES, LAKE AND KLAMATH COUNTIES, OREGON. THE PROJECT WILL INCLUDE THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE BUCKLEY SUBSTATION NEAR MAUPIN AND THE SUMMER LAKE SUBSTATION NEAR SILVER LAKE. THE LENGTH OF THE LINE WOULD BE 156 MILES. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED INCLUDE: 1) LOCAL GENERATION, 2) UNDERGROUND, AND 3) METHODS OF LOCATING THE TRANSMISSION FACILITIES.
(DOE/EIS-0050-FS)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA AHP COE DOI USDA
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 800550)

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

CONTACT: MR. BRUCE BLANCHARD
DIRECTOR, ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT REVIEW
ROOM 4256 INTERIOR BLDG.
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-3891

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

DRAFT DATE

1981 OCS OIL/GAS LEASE SALE # 56, SOUTH ATLANTIC 07/07

ATLANTIC OCEAN
PROPOSED IS THE 1981 OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF (OCS) OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE NO. 56 FOR THE SOUTH ATLANTIC OFFSHORE REGION WHICH CONSISTS OF 1,625,251 ACRES OFFSHORE THE STATES OF NORTH CAROLINA, SOUTH CAROLINA, GEORGIA AND FLORIDA. IT IS ESTIMATED THAT THERE ARE 1.4 BILLION BARRELS OF OIL AND 2.5 TRILLION CUBIC FEET OF NATURAL GAS IN THE LEASING AREA. TOTAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROJECT WILL REQUIRE 101 EXPLORATORY WELLS, 56 PRODUCTION PLATFORMS, AND 1,299 DEVELOPMENT WELLS. FOUR ALTERNATIVES ARE: 1) HOLD SALE AS PROPOSED, 2) TRACT DELETION, 3) DELAY SALE, AND 4) WITHDRAW THE SALE (NO ACTION).
(DES-80-42)
(EIS ORDER # 800500)

LUKE AIR FORCE RANGE, CONTINUED USE 07/31

ARIZONA
COUNTY: SEVERAL
PROPOSED IS THE RENEWAL, FOR TWENTY YEARS, OF A 502,792 ACRE WITHDRAWAL ON THE LUKE AIR FORCE RANGE (LAFR) LOCATED IN MARICOPA, PIMA AND YUMA COUNTIES, ARIZONA. THE LAFR CONSISTS OF 2,669,225 ACRES AND INCLUDES THE CABEZA PRIETA NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE. ASSOCIATED WITH THE WITHDRAWAL THE USAF WOULD CONTINUE OPERATING THE RANGE, AND WITH THE MARINE CORPS, WOULD UPGRADE TARGET FACILITIES AND INSTALL TELEMETRY EQUIPMENT TO IMPROVE AIRCREW TRAINING. COOPERATING AGENCY IS USAF.
(EIS ORDER # 800566)

JOHNSON VALLEY TO PARKER MOTORCYCLE RACE 07/25

CALIFORNIA
COUNTY: SAN BERNARDINO
PROPOSED IS THE ISSUANCE OF A PERMIT FOR A POINT-TO-POINT MOTORCYCLE RACE. THE COURSE RUNS APPROXIMATELY 235 MILES STARTING IN THE JOHNSON VALLEY OPEN AREA AND ENDING AT THE START/FINISH OF THE PARKER SCORE 400 COURSE IN SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDER: 1) THE PATTON'S TRAIL, AND 2) NO RACE.
(EIS ORDER # 800541)

DRAFT DATE

E. SAN DIEGO COUNTY PLANNING AREA MANAGEMENT 07/28

CALIFORNIA
COUNTY: SAN DIEGO
PROPOSED IS A GRAZING AND WILDERNESS MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE EASTERN SAN DIEGO COUNTY PLANNING UNIT (MCCAIN VALLEY) IN THE EL CENTO RESOURCE AREA OF THE RIVERSIDE DISTRICT, CALIFORNIA. THE PLANNING AREA ENCOMPASSES 98,902 ACRES OF PUBLIC LAND. GRAZING MANAGEMENT WOULD BE IMPLEMENTED ON 467,903 ACRES, AND WILDERNESS DESIGNATION RECOMMENDED FOR 40,086 ACRES. THE GRAZING ALTERNATIVES INCLUDE: 1) NO GRAZING, 2) INTENSIVE USE, 3) LIMITED USE, AND 4) NO ACTION. THE WILDERNESS ALTERNATIVES ARE: 1) MAXIMIZE WILDERNESS, 2) NO WILDERNESS, AND 3) LIMITED WILDERNESS.
(EIS ORDER # 800556)

NORTH IDAHO TIMBER MANAGEMENT 07/25

IDAHO
COUNTY: SEVERAL
PROPOSED IS A TIMBER MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR NORTHERN IDAHO. THE AREA ENCOMPASSES APPROXIMATELY 14,000,000 ACRES AND COVERS SEVERAL COUNTIES. APPROXIMATELY 2.6 MILLION CUBIC FEET OF TIMBER IS TO BE HARVESTED FROM 80,489 ACRES OF LAND AVAILABLE FOR TIMBER PRODUCTION. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDER: 1) NON-COMMODITY EMPHASIS, 2) COMMODITY EMPHASIS, 3) OVER-MATURE ACCELERATED HARVEST, 4) TRANSFER OF STATE LIEU LANDS, AND 5) NO ACTION.
(DES-80-45)
(EIS ORDER # 800540)

OCS OIL AND GAS SALES, #A66 AND #66 07/17

GULF OF MEXICO
PROPOSED ARE TWO OCS OIL AND GAS LEASE SALES FOR TRACTS A66 AND 66 IN THE GULF OF MEXICO. THE TRACTS COMPRISE 1,979,794 ACRES OFFSHORE OF THE STATES OF TEXAS, LOUISIANA, ALABAMA, MISSISSIPPI AND FLORIDA. THE PROJECT WILL INCLUDE THE CONSTRUCTION OF 175 DEVELOPMENT WELLS, 185 EXPLORATION WELLS, 375 MILES OF OFFSHORE PIPELINE AND 30 NEW PLATFORMS. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDER: 1) HOLD SALE AS PROPOSED, 2) MODIFY VIA POTENTIAL TRACT DELETIONS, 3) DELAY SALES, AND 4) WITHDRAW SALES.
(DES-80-43)
(EIS ORDER # 800527)

FINAL DATE

SHIVWITS RESOURCE AREA LIVESTOCK GRAZING MGMT. 07/15

ARIZONA
COUNTY: MOHAVE
PROPOSED IS A LIVESTOCK GRAZING MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE SHIVWITS RESOURCE AREA LOCATED IN MOHAVE COUNTY, ARIZONA. THE AREA ENCOMPASSES 1,717,00 ACRES. FORTY ALLOTMENTS WOULD BE MANAGED INTENSIVELY UNDER REST-ROTATION AND DEFERRED ROTATION SYSTEMS. TEN ADDITIONAL ALLOTMENTS WOULD BE LESS INTENSIVELY MANAGED. THE PROJECT WILL INCLUDE 86 WATER DEVELOPMENTS, 137 MILES OF FENCE, 32.5 MILES OF TWO-TRACK ROAD, AND 128,510 ACRES OF LAND TREATMENT.
(FES-80-21)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USDA EPA
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS, INDIVIDUALS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 800522)

BANNOCK-ONEIDA GRAZING MANAGEMENT PLAN 07/02

IDAHO
COUNTY: SEVERAL
PROPOSED IS GRAZING MANAGEMENT FOR THE BANNOCK-ONEIDA AREA IN CASSIA, ONEIDA, POWER AND BANNOCK COUNTIES, IDAHO. THE AREA ENCOMPASSES 431,508 ACRES OF PUBLIC LAND. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED ARE: 1) A BALANCED MIX OF USES WHILE ENSURING A SUSTAINED YIELD FROM THE RANGELAND ECOSYSTEM, 2) MAXIMIZE LIVESTOCK USE OF THE INVENTORIED VEGETATIVE RESOURCE, 3) NO LIVESTOCK GRAZING, 4) DECREASED LIVESTOCK GRAZING, AND 5) NO ACTION.
(FES-80-20)

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USDA EPA AHP
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS, INDIVIDUALS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 800496)

ROCKY MOUNTAIN LIQUID HYDROCARBON PIPELINE, PERMIT 07/02

SEVERAL
PROPOSED IS THE GRANTING OF RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE ROCKY MOUNTAIN LIQUID HYDROCARBON PIPELINE FROM HOBBS STATION IN GAINES COUNTY, TEXAS THROUGH NEW MEXICO, COLORADO AND UTAH TO THE ROCKY MOUNTAIN OVERTHRUST AREA OF WYOMING. THE PIPELINE WOULD EXTEND FOR 1,172 MILES AND WOULD BE USED TO TRANSPORT UP TO 65,000 BARRELS PER DAY OF MIXED STREAM HYDROCARBONS. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDER NO ACTION, DELAY OF ACTION, AND THREE ROUTE ALIGNMENTS.
(FES-80-19)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOI HEW COE EPA DOC
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER # 800497)

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

DRAFT — DATE

VOYAGEURS NATIONAL PARK, WILDERNESS RECOMMENDATION — 07/31

MINNESOTA
COUNTY: ST. LOUIS KOOCHICHING
PROPOSED IS A WILDERNESS RECOMMENDATION FOR THE VOYAGEURS NATIONAL PARK IN ST. LOUIS AND KOOCHICHING COUNTIES, MINNESOTA. THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE INVOLVES: 1) 91,653 ACRES TO BE DESIGNATED FOR POTENTIAL WILDERNESS ADDITION, 2) ACCESS ALLOWED FOR FLOATPLANES AND SKIPLANES ON ALL MAJOR LAKES, AND 3) PERMITTING SNOWMOBILE USE AND MOTORBOATING ON MAJOR LAKES. THE REMAINING ALTERNATIVES CONSIDER DESIGNATING VARYING ACREAGE IN THE PARK AS WILDERNESS.
(DES-80-49)
(EIS ORDER # 800567)

CAPE LOOKOUT NATIONAL SEASHORE, GENERAL MGMT. PLAN — 07/25

NORTH CAROLINA
COUNTY: CARTERET
PROPOSED IS A GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE CAPE LOOKOUT NATIONAL SEASHORE IN CARTERET COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA. THE PLAN WOULD INVOLVE MANAGEMENT OF THE BARRIER ISLANDS AS NATURAL AREAS, REMOVAL OF EXISTING DEVELOPMENT, PROHIBITED POV USE, A PUBLIC TRANSPORT SYSTEM, INCLUSION OF SOME AREAS IN THE NATIONAL WILDERNESS PRESERVATION SYSTEM, USE OF PUBLIC FERRYBOATS, PRESERVATION OF HISTORIC RESOURCES, AND CONSTRUCTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITIES. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDER: 1) NO ACTION, 2) MAXIMUM DEVELOPMENT, AND 3) MAXIMUM WILDERNESS.
(DES-80-44)
(EIS ORDER # 800548)

WATER AND POWER RESOURCES SERVICES

DRAFT — DATE

CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT REAUTHORIZATION — 07/29

CALIFORNIA
COUNTY: SEVERAL
PROPOSED IS THE REAUTHORIZATION OF THE CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT (CVP) AND THE CVP/STATE WATER PROJECT COORDINATED OPERATING AGREEMENT. CONSIDERED ARE: 1) ALL VIABLE ALTERNATIVE USES OF THE UNCONTRACTED WATER SUPPLIES OF THE PRESENTLY AUTHORIZED CVP, AND 2) THE CONSTRUCTION OF CERTAIN WORKS RELATED TO FISH AND WILDLIFE AND DELTA WATER QUALITY. THE COOPERATING AGENCIES INCLUDE THE FWS AND THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.
(DES-80-47)
(EIS ORDER # 800562)

FINAL DATE

ANIMAS-LAPLATA WATER SUPPLY PROJECT, ANIMAS RIVER 07/01

NEW MEXICO COLORADO
COUNTY: SAN JUAN LAPLATA MONTEZUMA
PROPOSED IS THE ANIMAS-LAPLATA WATER SUPPLY PROJECT LOCATED IN SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO AND LAPLATA AND MONTEZUMA COUNTIES, COLORADO. THE PROJECT WOULD INVOLVE THE DIVERSION OF WATER FROM THE ANIMAS RIVER TO THE LAPLATA AND MANCOS RIVER DRAINAGES AND WOULD INCLUDE: TWO OFF-STREAM RESERVOIRS, TWO PUMPING STATIONS, THREE CONVEYANCE SYSTEMS, A POWER TRANSMISSION LINE, AND TWO DIVERSION DAMS ON THE LAPLATA RIVER. THE PROJECT WOULD PROVIDE WATER FOR IRRIGATION, AND MUNICIPAL AND INDUSTRIAL USE, IN ADDITION TO RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES.
(FES-80-18)
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP DOI USDA EPA FERC
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS AND INDIVIDUALS
(EIS ORDER # 800493)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

CONTACT: MR. MARTIN CONVISSER, DIRECTOR
OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENT AND SAFETY
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
400 7TH STREET, S.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-4357

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

DRAFT DATE

IA-150 IMPROVEMENTS 07/01

IOWA
COUNTY: BUCHANAN
PROPOSED IS THE IMPROVEMENT OF A SEVEN-MILE SEGMENT OF IA-150 IN BUCHANAN COUNTY, IOWA. THIS PROJECT WOULD INVOLVE TWO BASIC CONSTRUCTION CONCEPTS AND A NO BUILD ALTERNATIVE. THE TWO CONSTRUCTION CONCEPTS PROVIDE ALTERNATIVES FOR IMPROVEMENTS TO THE EXISTING HIGHWAY ALIGNMENT AND ALSO FOR CONSTRUCTION ON A NEW ALIGNMENT DESIGNED AS A WESTERLY BYPASS OF INDEPENDENCE. THE ALTERNATIVES ARE: 1) NO BUILD, 2) RESURFACING, SHOULDERING AND DITCHING ON EXISTING ALIGNMENT, 3) WIDENING, RESURFACING, AND RECONSTRUCTION OF EXISTING ALIGNMENT, 4) ONE-WAY PAIRS, 5) BYPASS-ALTERNATE AND 6) BYPASS RAILROAD VARIATION.
(FHWA-IOWA-EIS-80-2D)
(EIS ORDER # 800494)

KALAMAZOO RAIL CONSOLIDATION PROGRAM 07/23

MICHIGAN
COUNTY: KALAMAZOO
PROPOSED IS A RAIL CONSOLIDATION PROGRAM FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF KALAMAZOO, MICHIGAN. THE PROGRAM WOULD INVOLVE 1) CONSOLIDATION OF THE EXISTING THREE PARALLEL RAIL CORRIDORS INTO A SINGLE NORTH-SOUTH RIGHT-OF-WAY IN THE MOST EASTERLY OF THE CORRIDORS, 2) CONSTRUCTION OF A GRADE SEPARATION CARRYING MICHIGAN AND KALAMAZOO AVENUE OVER THE CONSOLIDATED RAIL CORRIDORS. CONSIDERED ARE TRAIN REROUTING ALTERNATIVES AND RAIL SYSTEM MODIFICATION ALTERNATIVES.
(FHWA-MI-EIS-80-2-D)
(EIS ORDER # 800538)

25TH STREET CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENT, FARGO 07/16

NORTH DAKOTA
COUNTY: CASS
PROPOSED ARE IMPROVEMENTS TO THE 25TH STREET CORRIDOR IN FARGO, CASS COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA. THE IMPROVEMENTS CONSIST OF CONSTRUCTION OF AN UNDERPASS OF THE BURLINGTON NORTHERN MAINLINE AND AN INTERCHANGE WITH I-94. TWO LOCATION ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED FOR THE INTERCHANGE ARE THE 25TH STREET LOCATION AND NO ACTION.
(FHWA-ND-EIS-80-01-D)
(EIS ORDER # 800525)

DRAFT DATE

OREGON COAST HIGHWAY, US 101, OR-9, IMPROVEMENT 07/02

OREGON
COUNTY: LANE
PROPOSED IS THE RECONSTRUCTION OF 5.44 MILES OF THE OREGON COAST HIGHWAY, US 101 AND OR-9 IN LANE COUNTY, OREGON. THE RECONSTRUCTION WOULD BE DONE IN THREE SECTIONS: 1) AN IMPROVED TWO LANE SECTION; 2) A THREE LANE SECTION, TURNING MEDIAN ADDED; AND 3) A FIVE LANE SECTION, TURNING MEDIAN AND TWO TRAVEL LANES ADDED. HIGHWAY SHOULDERS WOULD BE INCLUDED AS WOULD SIDEWALKS AND CURBING IN THE CITY; SHOULDERS WOULD BE SIGNED AND STRIPPED FOR A BIKE-WAY. THE TWO ALTERNATIVES ARE: 1) NO BUILD AND 2) A BUILD ALTERNATIVE.
(FHWA-OR-EIS-80-04-D)
(EIS ORDER # 800498)

I-30, I-820 TO SUMMIT AVENUE, CITY OF FORT WORTH 07/25

TEXAS
COUNTY: TARRANT
PROPOSED IS THE WIDENING OF I-30 FROM I-820 EAST TO SUMMIT AVENUE IN THE CITY OF FORT WORTH, TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS. THE FACILITY WOULD BE A SIX LANE CONTROLLED ACCESS FREEWAY FROM I-820 TO CAMP BOWIE BOULEVARD AND EIGHT LANES FOR THE REMAINDER OF THE ROUTE TO SUMMIT AVENUE. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDER THREE DESIGNS FOR A SECTION OF THE FACILITY FROM HORNE STREET TO CLOVER LANE WHICH ARE: 1) WIDEN ON THE NORTH SIDE, 2) WIDEN TO THE SOUTH, AND 3) A COMBINATION OF BOTH IN DIFFERENT SECTIONS.
(FHWA-TEX-EIS-80-02-D)
(EIS ORDER # 800546)

FINAL DATE

KAUAI BELT ROAD, KALIHIWAI TO PRINCEVILLE (F-1) 07/28

HAWAII
COUNTY: KAUAI
PROPOSED IS THE IMPROVEMENT OF THE KAUAI BELT ROAD FROM KALIHIWAI TO PRINCEVILLE, COUNTY AND ISLAND OF KAUAI, HAWAII. INTERIUM MAINTENANCE REPAIR FOR SEVERAL OF THE ONE-LANE BRIDGES IS ALSO PLANNED. THIS EIS FINALIZES A PORTION OF THE PROJECT ADDRESSED IN THE DRAFT EIS, #770440, FILED 4-6-77, WHICH EXTENDS FROM KALIHIWAI TO HAENA. WHEN CERTAIN ISSUES ARE RESOLVED A SUPPLEMENTAL EIS WILL BE FILED CONCERNING THE SEGMENT FROM KALIHIWAI TO HAENA. THE COOPERATING AGENCY IS THE STATE OF HAWAII.
(FHWA-HI-EIS-76-03-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP DOI HEW HUD EPA DOT USDA
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS, INDIVIDUALS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 800559)

CA-203, MAMMOTH LAKES VILLAGE 07/07

CALIFORNIA
COUNTY: MONO
PROPOSED ARE IMPROVEMENTS ON CA-203 FROM THE MADERO COUNTY LINE TO THE CA-203/CA-395 JUNCTION IN MONO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, TO REDUCE BOTTLENECKS AND IMPROVE SAFETY CONDITIONS. IN ADDITION TO NO-ACTION THREE OTHER ALTERNATIVES ARE CONSIDERED WHICH INVOLVE: 1) WIDENING ABOUT 1.5 MILES OF EXISTING 2-LANE CONVENTIONAL HIGHWAY TO 4-LANES AND ADDING TRAFFIC SIGNALS, 2) OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS WHICH WOULD CHANNELIZE TWO INTERSECTIONS AND THE ADDITION OF TRAFFIC SIGNALS, 3) NO STATE HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION WITH CONSTRUCTION OF COUNTRY ROADS AND OTHER FACILITIES.
(FHWA-CA-EIS-78-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI HUD USDA COE
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER # 800503)

PROJECT M 7500 (4), HORIZON DRIVE 07/11

COLORADO
COUNTY: MESA
PROPOSED IS THE UPGRADING/AND OR IMPROVEMENT OF TRANSPORTATION IN THE NORTHWESTERN PART OF GRAND JUNCTION AND MESA COUNTY, COLORADO. HORIZON DRIVE WOULD BE EXTENDED AS A TWO-LANE ROAD, SOUTHWEST FROM SEVENTH STREET TO PATTERSON ROAD. PATTERSON ROAD BETWEEN FIRST AND SEVENTH STREETS WOULD BE IMPROVED AS A TWO-LANE ROAD WITH TURN LANES, PARKING RESTRICTIONS, AND CURB AND GUTTER. THE THREE ALTERNATIVES ARE: 1) DO NOTHING, 2) WIDEN SEVENTH STREET AND PATTERSON ROAD, AND 3) EXTEND AND IMPROVE F-1/2 ROAD.
(FHWA-COLO-EIS-77-05-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI COE DOT
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS, INDIVIDUALS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 800509)

HAWAII BELT ROAD, HOLUALOA-PAPA 07/25

HAWAII
COUNTY: KONA
PROPOSED IS THE REALIGNMENT AND IMPROVEMENT OF SEGMENTS OF THE EXISTING HAWAII BELT ROAD FROM HOLUALOA TO PAPA IN THE NORTH AND SOUTH KONA DISTRICTS WITHIN THE COUNTY, ISLAND AND STATE OF HAWAII. THE LENGTH OF THE FACILITY IS APPROXIMATELY 31 MILES. IN ADDITION TO NO ACTION, SEVEN CORRIDOR ALIGNMENTS ARE CONSIDERED.
(FHWA-HI-EIS-76-02-F)

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOI AHP
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 800551)

FINAL DATE

WALNUT BLVD., KINGS BLVD. TO HIGHLAND DRIVE 07/29

OREGON
COUNTY: BENTON
PROPOSED IS COMPLETION OF DEVELOPMENT OF WALNUT BOULEVARD AS A CONTINUOUS ARTERIAL ROUTE IN THE CITY OF CORVALLIS, BENTON COUNTY, OREGON. THE PROJECT BEGINS AT HARRISON BOULEVARD AND EXTENDS TO NW 9TH STREET. THE PROJECT LENGTH IS 0.75 MILES. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED ARE BUILD AND NO BUILD. FEATURES OF THE BUILD ALTERNATIVE INCLUDE FOUR TRAFFIC LANES THROUGHOUT ITS LENGTH, ADDITIONAL LEFT-TURN LANES AT INTERSECTIONS WITH MAJOR CROSS STREETS, A BIKE PATH, AND A WALKWAY. THE COOPERATING AGENCY IS THE STATE OF OREGON.
(FHWA-OR-EIS-79-05-F)

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOE EPA DOI
STATE AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER # 800560)

TN-22, MARTIN BYPASS TO OLD TN-22 PORTION (F-1) 07/15

TENNESSEE
COUNTY: WEAKLEY
PROPOSED IS THE IMPROVEMENT OF TN-22 FROM THE PROPOSED MARTIN BYPASS NEAR RALSTON TO OLD TN-22 NEAR DRESDEN, WEAKLEY COUNTY, TENNESSEE. THE ROADWAY WOULD BE UPGRADED FROM A TWO-LANE FACILITY TO A CROSS SECTION CONSISTING OF FOUR, 12 FOOT TRAFFIC LANES WITH A FORTY-EIGHT FOOT MEDIAN ON A MINIMUM RIGHT-OF-WAY OF 250 FEET. THIS EIS FINALIZES ONLY A PORTION OF THE PROJECT ADDRESSED IN THE DRAFT EIS, #781376, FILED 12-27-78. ANOTHER FINAL EIS WILL BE ISSUED TO COMPLETE THE ACTION AS DISCUSSED IN THE DRAFT.
(FHWA-TN-EIS-78-09-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: FERC USDA DOT TVA COE EPA DOI HUD HEW
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS
(EIS ORDER # 800523)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

EPA, REGION 2

FINAL DATE

MANASQUAN RIVER BASIN WWT FACILITIES, GRANT 07/17

NEW JERSEY
COUNTY: MONMOUTH
PROPOSED FOR CONSIDERATION IS THE AWARDING OF A GRANT FOR THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF WASTEWATER TREATMENT (WWT) FACILITIES FOR THE MANASQUAN RIVER BASIN LOCATED IN MONMOUTH COUNTY, NEW JERSEY. THE PLAN CALLS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A REGIONAL WWT PLANT (WTP) IN WALL TOWNSHIP AND RELATED INTERCEPTORS, PUMP STATIONS, AND FORCE MAINS TO CONVEY WASTEWATER TO THE REGIONAL WTP. ALL EXISTING WTPS IN THE MANASQUAN RIVER BASIN WOULD BE ABANDONED AND THEIR FLOWS CONVEYED TO THE REGIONAL WTP. AN OUTFALL WOULD BE CONSTRUCTED TO DISCHARGE TREATED WASTEWATER TO THE MANASQUAN RIVER. SIX ALTERNATIVES ARE CONSIDERED.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA HUD DOC
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS AND INDIVIDUALS
(EIS ORDER # 800528)

EPA, REGION 5

DRAFT DATE

BEMIDJI WASTEWATER TREATMENT SYSTEM 07/25

MINNESOTA
COUNTY: BELTRAMI
PROPOSED IS THE AWARDING OF A GRANT FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT FOR THE CITY OF BEMIDJI, BELTRAMI COUNTY, MINNESOTA. THE SELECTED ACTION WOULD INVOLVE CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW PLANT AT THE SITE OF THE EXISTING PLANT AND WOULD INCLUDE DISCHARGE TO THE INLET OF LAKE BEMIDJI. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDER FIVE CONVENTIONAL TREATMENT SYSTEMS AND ONE LAND TREATMENT SYSTEM.
(EIS ORDER # 800544)

FINAL DATE

CITY OF PORTAGE WWT FACILITIES 07/31

WISCONSIN
COUNTY: COLUMBIA
PROPOSED IS THE REPLACEMENT OF THE EXISTING WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT ON THE FOX RIVER WITH A NEW ONE ADJACENT TO THE WISCONSIN RIVER IN THE CITY OF PORTAGE, COLUMBIA COUNTY, WISCONSIN. A NEW INTERCEPTOR WOULD BE BUILT TO THE PLANT ELIMINATING FIVE EXISTING LIFT STATIONS. THE NEW

PLANT WOULD HAVE A DESIGN CAPACITY OF 2.6 MILLION GALLONS PER DAY AND WOULD PROVIDE SECONDARY TREATMENT BY ROTATING BIOLOGICAL CONTRACTORS PRIOR TO CHLORINATION AND DISCHARGE TO THE WISCONSIN RIVER.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT USDA DOI DJUS AHP
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS, INDIVIDUALS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 800568)

EPA, REGION 7

DRAFT DATE

OLATHE WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES 07/22

KANSAS
COUNTY: JOHNSON
PROPOSED IS THE AWARDING OF A GRANT FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES IN THE CITY OF OLATHE, JOHNSON COUNTY, KANSAS. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDER ABANDONING OR EXPANDING THE HAROLD STREET PLANT.
(EPA-907/9-80-003)
(EIS ORDER # 800536)

EPA, REGION 9

FINAL DATE

TREATED WASTEWATER DISPOSAL PROGRAM, SOUTH BAY 07/14

CALIFORNIA
COUNTY: SANTA CLARA
PROPOSED IS A TREATED WASTEWATER DISPOSAL PROGRAM FOR THE SAN JOSE/SANTA CLARA, SUNNYVALE, AND PALO ALTO WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS, LOCATED IN THE SANTA CLARA COUNTY BAYLANDS ALONG THE SOUTHEASTERN EDGE OF THE SAN FRANCISO BAY, CALIFORNIA. THE RECOMMENDED ALTERNATIVE AT THIS TIME IS THE "NO PROJECT ALTERNATIVE" FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS: 1) THE DEGREE TO WHICH ADVERSE IMPACTS ON THE BIOTA OF THE SOUTH BAY WOULD BE MITIGATED CANNOT BE PREDICTED, 2) SUBSTANTIAL IMPROVEMENTS IN DISSOLVED OXYGEN CONCENTRATIONS HAVE NOT BEEN SHOWN, AND 3) THE VIABILITY OF FUTURE FUEL RECLAMATION IS BEING INVESTIGATED.
(EPA-9-CA-SBDA-80)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT DOC USDA DOI AHP
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS
(EIS ORDER # 800516)

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CONTACT: DR. JACK M. HEINEMANN
ADVISOR ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
ROOM 3000 S-22
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
825 NORTH CAPITOL STREET, NE
WASHINGTON, DC 20426
(202) 357-8228
ANYONE DESIRING TO PROTEST OR FILE A PETITION TO INTERVENE WITH THE FERC ON THE BASIS OF A DRAFT EIS LISTED BELOW SHOULD DO SO IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF FERC'S

FINAL DATE

PRUDHOE BAY SALES GAS CONDITIONING FACILITY C/O 07/18

ALASKA
PROPOSED IS THE ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY FOR THE CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OF A SALES GAS CONDITIONING FACILITY AT PRUDHOE BAY, ALASKA. THE FACILITY WOULD CONSIST OF FOUR PROCESSING TRAINS USING THE SELEXOL PROCESS TO CONDITION THE GAS AND REFRIGERATION TO SEPARATE THE HYDROCARBONS. AN OPERATIONS/LIVING CENTER AND CONSTRUCTION CAMP WOULD ALSO BE CONSTRUCTED. THE SITE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED ARE AT THE YOKUM RIVER NEAR THE TAPS BRIDGE AND AT FAIRBANKS. PIPELINE PRESSURE AND PROCESS ALTERNATIVES ARE ALSO CONSIDERED.
(FERC/EIS-0009)
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP COE HEW DOC EPA HUD
LOCAL AGENCIES
INDIVIDUALS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 800533)

CROSS GENERATING STATION, LICENSE 07/18

SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY: BERKELEY
PROPOSED IS THE ISSUANCE OF A LICENSE FOR THE CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OF THE CROSS GENERATING STATION LOCATED NEAR THE TOWN OF CROSS, BERKELEY COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA. THE STATION WOULD CONSIST OF FOUR COAL-FIRED STEAM ELECTRIC GENERATING UNITS WITH A CAPACITY OF 510 MWE EACH, AT A NEW PLANT SITE TO BE LOCATED ADJACENT TO THE DIVERSION CANAL CONNECTING LAKES MARION AND MOULTRIE. APPROXIMATELY 180 MILES OF NEW TRANSMISSION LINE WOULD CONNECT THE PLANT TO EXISTING SUBSTATIONS AT FOUR PRIMARY LOAD CENTERS.
(FERC PROJECT NO. 199)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI HEW DOT COE EPA USDA
STATE AGENCIES
BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 800529)

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

CONTACT: MR. CARL W. PENLAND
ACTING DIRECTOR
ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS DIVISION
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION
18TH AND F STREETS, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20405
(202) 566-1416

FINAL DATE

FEDERAL OFFICE BUILDING CONSTRUCTION, BOSTON 07/10

MASSACHUSETTS
COUNTY: SUFFOLK
PROPOSED IS THE CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW FEDERAL OFFICE BUILDING IN BOSTON, SUFFOLK COUNTY, MASSACHUSETTS, CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY 638,050 OCCUPIABLE SQUARE FEET, PROVIDING APPROXIMATELY 483,000 SQUARE FEET OF AGENCY SPACE AND HOUSING APPROXIMATELY 3,000 EMPLOYEES. BASEMENT PARKING FOR GOVERNMENT VEHICLES, VISITORS AND HANDICAPPED PERSONS WILL BE PROVIDED. THE ALTERNATIVES ARE: 1) NO ACTION, 2) ACQUISITION OF SPACE IN AN EXISTING BUILDING FOR CONSOLIDATION OF LEASED LOCATIONS, 3) EXTENSION OF EXISTING GOVERNMENT-OWNED BUILDINGS AND 4) ALTERNATIVE SITES FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION.
(EMA 78002)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA FERC HEW DOI DLAB SBA
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER # 800508)

DEPARTMENT OF HUD

CONTACT: MR. RICHARD H. BROUN, DIRECTOR
OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
ROOM 7274
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
451 7TH STREET, S.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20410
(202) 755-6300

DRAFT DATE

AHWATUKEE PLANNED COMMUNITY 07/14

ARIZONA
COUNTY: MARICOPA
PROPOSED IS THE ISSUANCE OF HUD HOME MORTGAGE INSURANCE FOR THE AHWATUKEE PLANNED COMMUNITY IN MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA. THE COMMUNITY WILL ENCOMPASS 2,600 ACRES AND CONTAIN A TOTAL OF APPROXIMATELY 7,300 DWELLING UNITS. A NUMBER OF COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND TWO ADDITIONAL SCHOOLS ARE PLANNED.
(HUD-R09-EIS-80-3D)
(EIS ORDER # 800519)

CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN RANCH HOUSING DEVELOPMENT 07/14

COLORADO
PROPOSED IS THE ISSUANCE OF HUD HOME MORTGAGE INSURANCE FOR THE CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN RANCH HOUSING DEVELOPMENT LOCATED IN COLORADO SPRINGS, EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO. THE DEVELOPMENT ENCOMPASSES 2,827.9 ACRES AND WILL CONTAIN 10,025 SINGLE AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS AS WELL AS SITES FOR PROFESSIONAL USES, AND PARK AND OPEN SPACE SYSTEMS.
(HUD-R08-EIS-80-IXD)
(EIS ORDER # 800520)

GREENWOOD LAKES PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT 07/24

FLORIDA
COUNTY: SEMINOLE
PROPOSED IS THE ISSUANCE OF HUD HOME MORTGAGE INSURANCE FOR THE GREENWOOD LAKES PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT IN SEMINOLE COUNTY, FLORIDA. THE DEVELOPMENT ENCOMPASSES 1,469 ACRES AND WILL CONTAIN 5,110 TOWNHOUSES, SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY HOMES. THE DEVELOPMENT WILL ALSO INCLUDE SCHOOL, OPEN SPACE, PARK AND LAKE AREAS.
(HUD-R04-EIS-78-03)
(EIS ORDER # 800539)

FINAL DATE

ROCKRIMMON LAKE AREA, COLORADO SPRINGS 07/01

COLORADO
COUNTY: EL PASO
PROPOSED IS THE ISSUANCE OF HUD HOME MORTGAGE INSURANCE FOR THE LAKE AREA OF THE ROCKRIMMON PLANNED DEVELOPMENT IN COLORADO SPRINGS, EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO. THE INSURANCE HAS BEEN REQUESTED FOR 402 SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES ON 151 ACRES. THE TOTAL DEVELOPMENT ENCOMPASSES 2,380 ACRES AND WILL CONTAIN APPROXIMATELY 5,500 DWELLING UNITS AS WELL AS COMMERCIAL, SCHOOL AND PARK AREAS.
(HUD-R08-EIS-80-IVF)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USAF COE DOI DOT HEW EPA
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER # 800495)

HOMESTEAD PLANNED DEVELOPMENT 07/25

NORTH DAKOTA
COUNTY: STUTSMAN
PROPOSED IS THE ISSUANCE OF HUD HOME MORTGAGE INSURANCE FOR THE HOMESTEAD PLANNED DEVELOPMENT IN STUTSMAN COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA. THE DEVELOPMENT WOULD INCLUDE 540 SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ON 120 ACRES. INSURANCE HAS BEEN REQUESTED FOR 50 UNITS TO BE LOCATED ON AN 18 ACRE TRACT TO BE KNOWN AS HOMESTEAD III.
(HUD-R08-EIS-80-VF)
COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW EPA
(EIS ORDER # 800549)

SECTION 104(H)

FINAL DATE

BURBANK CITY CENTER REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT (CDBG) 07/14

CALIFORNIA
COUNTY: LOS ANGELES
PROPOSED IS THE AWARDING OF CDBG FUNDS TO THE CITY OF BURBANK, LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF A REGIONAL SHOPPING CENTER. THE SHOPPING CENTER WOULD CONSIST OF FOUR, OR POSSIBLY FIVE, MAJOR DEPARTMENT STORES, ALONG WITH OTHER COMPLEMENTARY USES, COMPRISING UP TO 896,000 SQUARE FEET OF LEASEABLE AREA AND ASSOCIATED PARKING FOR 3,900 CARS.
COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD DOT EPA
LOCAL AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER # 800517)

FINAL DATE

SOUTH UNIVERSITY INDUSTRIAL PARK, UDAG 07/30

NEW MEXICO
COUNTY: BERNALILLO
PROPOSED IS THE AWARDING OF A UDAG FOR THE SOUTH UNIVERSITY INDUSTRIAL PARK IN THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE, BERNALILLO COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. THE GRANT WOULD BE USED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF MUNICIPAL WATER AND SANITARY SEWER LINES AND THE COOPERATING AGENCY IS DOC.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA COE DOI
LOCAL AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER # 800564)

ROCHESTER CITY CULTURAL DISTRICT PLAN 07/11

NEW YORK
COUNTY: MONROE
PROPOSED IS THE AWARDING OF A CDB GRANT TO THE CITY OF ROCHESTER, MONROE COUNTY, NEW YORK, FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE CITY'S CULTURAL DISTRICT. THE MASTER PLAN INVOLVES A MIXTURE OF CULTURAL, ENTERTAINMENT, COMMERCIAL AND RESIDENTIAL USE. SPECIFICALLY THE PLAN CONSIDERS THE CONSTRUCTION OF A MAIN STREET TRANSIT MALL AND THE EXTENSION OF CHESTNUT STREET. FUNDING WOULD BE APPLIED TO PORTIONS OF THE OVERALL DEVELOPMENT.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 800511)

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

CONTACT: DR. MOHAMED T. EL-ASHRY
DIRECTOR OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY
FORESTRY BUILDING
NORRIS, TENNESSEE 37828
(615) 632-6450 FTS 856-6450

DRAFT DATE

ALTERNATIVE ELECTRIC POWER RATE STRUCTURES 07/25

REGULATORY
PROPOSED IS THE ADOPTION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF ALTERNATIVE RATE STRUCTURES. THE STANDARDS UNDER CONSIDERATION INCLUDE: 1) RATES BASED ON COST OF SERVICE, 2) ELIMINATION OF DECLINING BLOCK RATES, 3) ADOPTING TIME-OF-DAY RATES, 4) ADOPTING SEASONAL RATES, 5) OFFERING INTERRUPTIBLE RATES TO COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS, 6) OFFERING COST-EFFECTIVE LOAD MANAGEMENT, AND 7) SPECIAL ADDITIONAL CHARGE FOR NEW, ENERGY INEFFICIENT HOMES.
(EIS ORDER # 800547)

FINAL DATE

MORTON RANCH URANIUM MILL PROCESSING 07/14

WYOMING
COUNTY: CONVERSE
PROPOSED ARE CERTAIN MILLING OPERATIONS INVOLVING THE PROCESSING OF URANIUM ORE DEPOSITS FROM SURFACE AND UNDERGROUND MINING OPERATIONS AT THE MORTON RANCH URANIUM MILL IN CONVERSE COUNTY, WYOMING. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDER: 1) SITE LOCATION, 2) MILL PROCESSES, 3) USE OF EXISTING MILL, 4) METHODS FOR TAILINGS MANAGEMENT, AND 5) ENERGY SOURCES. THIS FINAL EIS WAS PREPARED BY THE NRC AND HAS BEEN ADOPTED BY TVA. THE NRC EIS, #790245, FILED 3-6-79, RESULTED IN THE ISSUANCE OF A LICENSE FOR OPERATION OF THE MILL TO PROCESS ORE.
(NUREG-0532)
COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW COE DOE DOI EPA FERC USDA
STATE AGENCIES
GROUPS
(EIS ORDER # 800514)

U. S. POSTAL SERVICE

CONTACT: MR. ROBERT COVEN
DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF PROGRAM PLANNING
REAL ESTATE AND BUILDING DEPARTMENT
U.S. POSTAL SERVICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20260
(202) 245-4304

FINAL DATE

WESTPORT POSTAL STATION BUILDING/PARKING EXPANSION 07/14

MISSOURI
COUNTY: JACKSON
PROPOSED IS THE EXPANSION OF THE WESTPORT POSTAL STATION IN KANSAS CITY, JACKSON COUNTY, MISSOURI. THE EXPANSION WOULD INCLUDE ADDITIONAL BUILDING SPACE AND OFF-STREET PARKING. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED INCLUDE: 1) STRUCTURAL AND NONSTRUCTURAL SOLUTIONS, 2) SATELLITE PARKING, 3) RESCHEDULING ACTIVITIES, AND 4) NO ACTION.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA
INDIVIDUALS
(EIS ORDER # 800515)

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

CONTACT: MR. BARRY FLAMM
DIRECTOR
OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
ROOM 412-A ADMIN. BUILDING
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20250
(202) 447-3965

FOREST SERVICE

DRAFT DATE

SALT RIVER WILD AND SCENIC STUDY, TONTO, NF 07/28

ARIZONA
COUNTY: GILA
PROPOSED IS INCLUSION OF A SEGMENT OF THE SALT RIVER, LOCATED IN THE TONTO NATIONAL FOREST, GILA COUNTY, FLORIDA, IN THE NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS SYSTEM. THE SEGMENT RECOMMENDED FOR INCLUSION IS 22 MILES LONG AND WOULD INCLUDE APPROXIMATELY 7,100 ACRES OF ADJACENT LANDS. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDER CONTINUING PRESENT MANAGEMENT.
(EIS ORDER # 800557)

SAN FRANCISCO R. WILD AND SCENIC STUDY, APACHE NF 07/28

ARIZONA
COUNTY: GREENLEE
PROPOSED IS INCLUSION OF A SEGMENT OF THE SAN FRANCISCO RIVER, LOCATED WITHIN THE APACHE NATIONAL FOREST, GREENLEE COUNTY, ARIZONA. THE SEGMENT PROPOSED FOR INCLUSION IS 9 MILES IN LENGTH. TWO OTHER SEGMENTS MEET THE CRITERIA FOR A RECREATIONAL RIVER. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDER: 1) NO DESIGNATION, 2) DESIGNATION OF ALL ELIGIBLE SEGMENTS, 3) DESIGNATION OF RECREATIONAL SEGMENTS, 4) PUBLIC RECOMMENDATION, AND 5) DESIGNATION OF ONE OF THE RECREATIONAL SEGMENTS.
(EIS ORDER # 800558)

SPRUCE CREEK ADDITION WILDERNESS STUDY AREA 07/14

COLORADO
COUNTY: PITKIN
PROPOSED IS DESIGNATION OF THE SPRUCE CREEK AREA, WHITE RIVER NATIONAL FOREST, PITKIN COUNTY, COLORADO, AS WILDERNESS. THIS WOULD ALSO INCLUDE CONTINUING MANAGEMENT OF THE DEADMAN PROJECTION AS WILDERNESS. THE SIX ALTERNATIVES DISCUSSED CONSIDER VARYING DESIGNATIONS AND ALLOCATIONS FOR THE TWO AREAS. THE TWO AREAS COMBINED ENCOMPASS APPROXIMATELY 13,500 ACRES OF LAND.
(DEIS-02-15-80-01)
(EIS ORDER # 800518)

DRAFT DATE

THOMPSON CREEK MOLYBDENUM PROJECT, CHALLIS NF 07/09

IDAHO
COUNTY: CUSTER
PROPOSED IS AN OPERATING PLAN FOR THE MINING AND PROCESSING OF MOLYBDENUM DISALFIDE IN THE CHALLIS NATIONAL FOREST, CUSTER COUNTY, IDAHO. THE PROJECT WILL INCLUDE AN OPEN PIT MINE, WASTE DUMPS, CONCENTRATOR FACILITIES AND TAILINGS IMPOUNDMENT. THESE COMPONENTS WILL BE CONNECTED BY TRANSPORTATION, PIPELINE AND/OR UTILITY CORRIDORS. THE ALTERNATIVES ARE: 1) CONCENTRATOR LOCATED AT DIFFERENT SITE, 2) TAILINGS IMPOUNDMENTS LOCATED IN BOTH UPPER AND LOWER PORTIONS OF BRUNO CREEK, AND A DIFFERENT ACCESS ROAD, 3) NO ACTION. COOPERATING AGENCY: BLM.
(EIS ORDER # 800507)

FINAL DATE

UPPER SHAVERS FORK SUB-UNIT, MONONGAHELA NF 07/07

WEST VIRGINIA
COUNTY: POCAHONTAS RANDOLPH
THE PROJECT PROPOSAL CONCERNED INVOLVES A TEN YEAR MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE UPPER SHAVERS FORK SUB-UNIT LOCATED IN THE COUNTIES OF POCAHONTAS AND RANDOLPH, WEST VIRGINIA. FEATURES OF THE MANAGEMENT WHICH ARE TO BE IMPLEMENTED OR ARE UNDER CONSIDERATION INCLUDE: 1) RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES; 2) TIMBER SALES AND CULTURAL TIMBER WORK; 3) EVEN AND UNEVEN AGED MANAGEMENT; 4) MODIFIED CUTTING METHODS; 5) TEMPORARY BAN OF WORK IN CHEAT MOUNTAIN RARE II AREA; 6) NECESSARY BUT PROTECTIVE ROAD SYSTEM; 7) ACQUISITION OF SURFACE/SUBSURFACE RIGHTS, RESERVED MINERAL RIGHTS ON NATIONAL FOREST LANDS, AND COAL MINING.
(USDA-FS(ADM)R-9-78-02F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI COE EPA
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS, INDIVIDUALS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 800501)

FINAL DATE

GILBERT BAY/HOLKHAM BAY AREA, TONGASS NF 07/07

ALASKA
PROPOSED IS RECREATIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND SALE OF TIMBER IN THE GILBERT/HOLKHAM BAY AREAS OF THE TONGASS NATIONAL FOREST IN ALASKA. IN ADDITION TO NO ACTION, ALTERNATIVES FOR ROAD DEVELOPMENT, A LOGGING CAMP AND TRANSFER FACILITY, DISPERSED RECREATION AND MINING DEVELOPMENT ARE CONSIDERED FOR THE TIMBER SALE. THE RECREATIONAL ALTERNATIVES INCLUDE: 1) PRIMITIVE AND SEMIPRIMITIVE DEVELOPMENT, 2) MODERATE LODGE/RESORT DEVELOPMENT, 3) COMBINATION OF 1 AND 2, 4) MAJOR LODGE/RESORT DEVELOPMENT IN ADDITION TO PRIMITIVE AND SEMIPRIMITIVE RECREATION, 5) SITE LOCATIONS FOR MAJOR LODGE/RESORT DEVELOPMENT, AND NO ACTION. COOPERATING AGENCIES ARE DOC, DOI, AND THE ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT AHP EPA USDA TREA PHS
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS, INDIVIDUALS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 800502)

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION

DRAFT DATE

JK SMITH POWER STATION UNITS 1&2, TRANSMISSION 07/02

KENTUCKY
COUNTY: CLARK
PROPOSED IS THE GUARANTEE OF A LOAN FOR CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OF TWO 650 MW COAL-FIRED ELECTRIC GENERATING UNITS, WITH A TOTAL GENERATION CAPACITY OF 1300 MW GROSS, AT A NEW PLANT SITE TO BE LOCATED NEAR TRAPP IN CLARK COUNTY, KENTUCKY. STACK EMISSIONS WILL BE CONTROLLED BY LIME-LIMESTONE SCRUBBERS, ELECTROSTATIC, PRECIPITATORS AND BOILER DESIGN. CONDENSER COOLING WILL BE ACCOMPLISHED BY THE USE OF CCOLING TOWERS. PLANT WATER WILL COME FROM THE KENTUCKY RIVER NORMALLY, OR FROM AN ONSITE WATER RESERVOIR DURING PERIODS OF EXTRAORDINARILY LOW RIVER FLOW. COOPERATING AGENCIES INCLUDE: EPA, COE, USDI AND STATE OF KENTUCKY.
(USDA-REA-EIS (ADM) 80-8-D)
(EIS ORDER #800499)

DRAFT SUPPLEMENT DATE

CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION UNIT 1 (DS-1) 07/25

SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY: YORK
PROPOSED IS THE AWARDING OF FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FOR THE PURCHASE OF AN UNDIVIDED OWNERSHIP INTEREST IN CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION UNIT 1 LOCATED IN YORK COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA. TRANSMISSION ASSOCIATED WITH THE STATION WILL CONSIST OF TWO 230 KV LINES NOW UNDER CONSTRUCTION AND THREE EXISTING 230 KV LINES TO BE CONNECTED TO THE CATAWBA SWITCHING STATION. IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS FILING REA IS ADOPTING AND SUPPLEMENTING A FINAL EIS ISSUED BY NRC, #731920, FILED 12-11-73.
(USDA-REA(ADM)-80-9-DS)
(EIS ORDER # 800545)

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

DRAFT DATE

JUMPER CREEK WATERSHED PROTECTION 07/29

FLORIDA
COUNTY: SUMTER
PROPOSED IS A WATERSHED PROTECTION, FLOOD PREVENTION, WATER CONSERVATION AND AGRICULTURAL WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE JUMPER CREEK WATERSHED IN SUMTER COUNTY, FLORIDA. THE PLAN INVOLVES: 1) LAND TREATMENT, 2) 16.39 MILES OF CHANNEL REHABILITATION, AND 3) INSTALLATION OF 7 COMBINATION WATER CONTROL/GRADE STABILIZATION STRUCTURES. THE CHANNEL REHABILITATION WILL INVOLVE THE ENLARGEMENT OF 11.43 MILES OF PREVIOUSLY ENLARGED CHANNEL AND CLEARING AND SNAGGING ALONG 4.96 MILES OF EXISTING LATERAL CHANNELS.
(USDA-SCS-EIS-WS-(ADM)-80-1-(D)-FL)
(EIS ORDER # 800561)

RATTLESNAKE CREEK WATERSHED 07/25

OHIO
COUNTY: SEVERAL
PROPOSED IS A LAND TREATMENT AND CHANNEL MODIFICATION PLAN FOR THE RATTLESNAKE CREEK WATERSHED IN CLINTON, FAYETTE, GREENE AND MADISON COUNTIES, OHIO. THE CHANNEL WORK INVOLVES 8.8 MILES OF OBSTRUCTION REMOVAL, 1.6 MILES OF FLUSH CUT CLEARING, AND 46.6 MILES OF DEEPENING AND/OR

WIDENING. THE ALTERNATIVES INCLUDE: 1) NO ACTION, 2) LAND USE CHANGES, 3) NONSTRUCTURAL MEASURES, AND 4) VARYING DEGREES OF STREAM CHANNEL MODIFICATION.
(EIS ORDER # 800543)

FINAL DATE

LIMESTONE-MUDDY CREEK WATERSHED PROTECTION 07/14

NORTH CAROLINA
COUNTY: DUPLIN
PROPOSED IS A WATERSHED PROTECTION AND FLOOD PREVENTION PLAN FOR THE LIMESTONE-MUDDY CREEK WATERSHED LOCATED IN DUPLIN COUNTY,.NORTH CAROLINA. THE IMPROVEMENTS INCLUDE CONSERVATION LAND TREATMENT, 55.9 MILES OF CHANNEL RESTORATION, A 68 ACRE RECREATION IMPOUNDMENT, A 60 ACRE RECREATIONAL DEVELOPMENT AREA, FISH HOLES ON 20.3 MILES OF CHANNEL AND FISHING ACCESS TO 45.4 MILES OF CHANNEL. CHANNEL EXCAVATION WILL INVOLVE ENLARGEMENT BY EXCAVATION OF 34.1 MILES OF EPHEMERAL STREAMS AND 21.8 MILES OF INTERMITTENT STREAMS.
(USDA-SCS-EIS-WS-(ADM)-79-1-(F)-NC)
COMMENTS MADE BY: FERC DOC HEW EPA
STATE AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER # 800513)

ANNUAL SUMMARY OF 102 STATEMENTS FILED THROUGH 1980
JULY 1980
(By Agency)

Agency	Draft EIS's filed during 1980	Final EIS's filed during 1980	Suppl. filed during 1980	Total Actions on which Draft or Final State have been rec.
Agriculture, Department of	26	36	7	69
Appalachian Regional Commission				
Architect of the Capitol				
Canal Zone Government				
Civil Aeronautics Board	0	1	0	1
Commerce, Department of	20	5	7	32
Consumer Product Safety Comm.				
Defense, Department of	1	0	0	1
Air Force				
Army	2	1	0	3
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	41	28	23	89
Navy	1	2	2	5
Delaware River Basin Comm.				
Energy, Department of	5	8	7	20
Energy Policy Office				
Energy Research & Devel. Admin.				
Environmental Protection Agency	18	17	1	36
Federal Energy Administration				
Federal Maritime Commission				
Federal Energy Regulatory Comm.	5	4	2	11
Federal Trade Commission				
General Services Administration	2	5	0	7
Great Lakes Basin Commission	1	0	0	1
HEW, Department of	1	0	0	1
HUD, Department of	35	42	6	83
Interior, Department of	42	20	9	71
International Boundary and Water Comm. -- U.S. and Mexico				
Interstate Commerce Commission	1	3	0	4
Justice, Department of				
Labor, Department of	0	1	0	1
Nat'l. Aeronautics & Space Admin.	0	1	0	1
Nat'l. Capitol Planning Comm.				
Nat'l. Science Foundation	0	1	0	1
New England River Basins Comm.				
Nuclear Regulatory Commission	3	3	2	8
Office of Science Technology				
Ohio River Basin Commission	1	0	0	1
Pacific Northwest River Basins Comm.				
Pennsylvania Ave. Devel. Corporation				
Souris-Red-Rainy River Basins Comm.				
State Department	2	0	0	2
Tennessee Valley Authority	1	3	0	4
Transportation, Department of	40	52	5	97
Treasury, Department of				
Upper Mississippi River Basin Comm.				
U.S. Postal Service	0	1	0	1
U.S. Water Resources Council				
Veterans Administration	1	1	0	2
Missouri River Basin Comm.	1	0	0	1
TOTALS	251	235	69	555

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

102 MONITOR

The 102 Monitor is a monthly publication of the Environmental Protection Agency. In addition to its listing of Environmental Impact Statements which have been filed under the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Monitor contains a list of EPA's comments, filed under the provisions of Section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended; items of current concern in NEPA case law; information on the environmental studies of the Council on Environmental Quality; and other matters of interest.

The 102 Monitor is printed and distributed by the Government Printing Office. The subscription rate for the Monitor is $20.00 annually, with an additional $5.00 charge for foreign mailing; individual copies of the Monitor are $1.70 domestic and $2.15 foreign and are available from GPO.

Superintendent of Documents
Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402

(Difficulties in obtaining copies of the 102 Monitor should be referred to GPO's Service Section, P.O. Box SSOS, Washington, D.C. 20402.)

Prices revised May, 1978

CHANGE OF ADDRESS FORM

NAME—FIRST, LAST

COMPANY NAME OR ADDITIONAL ADDRESS LINE

STREET ADDRESS

CITY | STATE | ZIP CODE

PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE

(or) COUNTRY

Mail this form to: NEW ADDRESS

Superintendent of Documents
Government Printing Office SSOM
Washington, D.C. 20402

Attach last subscription label here.

SUBSCRIPTION ORDER FORM

SUBSCRIPTION ORDER FORM

ENTER MY SUBSCRIPTION TO: 102 MONITOR (MNT)

@ $20.00 Domestic; @ $25.00 Foreign

NAME—FIRST, LAST

COMPANY NAME OR ADDITIONAL ADDRESS LINE

STREET ADDRESS

CITY | STATE | ZIP CODE

PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE

(or) COUNTRY

☐ Remittance Enclosed (Make checks payable to Superintendent of Documents)

☐ Charge to my Deposit Account No. .....

MAIL ORDER FORM TO:
Superintendent of Documents
Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402

*U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1980 311-134/7 1-3